

CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2, AND ARE CLOSED CAPTIONED FOR OUR HEARING IMPAIRED VIEWERS. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM AND ARE ALSO REBROADCAST ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 10:00 AM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION – REVEREND JON IERLEY, CELEBRATION UNITED METHODIST CHURCH
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN (excused from the PM Session at 4:09 p.m.) and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD (excused from the PM Session at 4:09 p.m.), BROWN (excused from the PM Session until 2:05 p.m.), L.B. McDONALD, WEEKLY (excused from the AM Session until 9:02 a.m.), and MACK (excused from the PM Session until 3:21 P.M. and left at 4:09 p.m.)

Also Present: CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY, ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER BETSY FRETWELL, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board

Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road

Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway

Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall

City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:00)

1-1

REVEREND JON IERLEY, Celebration United Methodist Church, gave the invocation.

(9:00 – 9:02)

1-16

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Pledge of Allegiance

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:02 – 9:03)

1-78

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN welcomed students from Valley High School's Academy of Travel and Tourism who were visiting to learn more about City planning, zoning, and other interesting topics as part of their economics class.

(9:17 - 9:18)

1-537

MAYOR GOODMAN welcomed DR. HOLLY JACKS, Principle of Woolly Elementary, who does a great job in teaching children that come from a more challenged environment and who is very committed to education.

(9:18 – 9:19)

1-604

COUNCILMAN REESE welcomed and recognized Boy Scout Troop 465, who was present to observe the City Council meeting in order to earn their Merit Badge. Boy Scout CONNER PATERSON stated that their troop is located in Ward 6. COUNCILMAN REESE invited all the other Boy Scouts to introduce themselves: JAMES McNELLY, RICKY FUEMBENA, CARL PALMER, and JORDAN HETCHEL, who recited the Boy Scout oath.

(5:44 – 5:47)

6-965

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN, RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, and WAYNE DOWDEY, Superintendent, Land Development, recognized HARLOW E. SMOOT III, Senior Engineering Associate of the Engineering Planning Division, as Employee of the Month for January. MR. SMOOT has been with the City since 1991 and has exhibited exceptional job performance that has resulted in the successful coordination of 74 projects through express review, which saves applicants valuable time by expediting the process for project approval. MR. SMOOT'S dedication has also resulted in the collection of some \$200,000 in express fees, clearly benefiting the City's revenue stream. He is currently handling 20 projects at various stages and we hope he continues to deliver such quality work.

MR. GOECKE stated that MR. SMOOT started with the City as an intern for the Public Works Department. He congratulated MR. SMOOT for making the Express Plans Check program, which is relatively new, an outstanding success.

MR. SMOOT accepted the plaque and was very honored to be chosen Employee of the Month. He also gave credit to his fellow employees and stated that they work as a team and he could not have accomplished anything without them. He acknowledged his wife and two children in the audience.

(9:03 – 9:05)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF SENIOR OF THE QUARTER

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN invited JERRY KOSBAB, Chairman of the Senior Citizens Advisory Board, to help recognize the Senior of the Quarter.

MR. KOSBAB explained that under the new Senior of the Quarter program, the Senior Citizens Advisory Board recognizes an outstanding senior in the community for their contributions toward making Las Vegas a better place to live. He recognized MAXENE GOLDSTEIN as this quarter's senior for her volunteerism in the community on behalf of different organizations and causes over the past twelve years. Child Haven alone benefited from \$3,200 MS. GOLDSTEIN collected from the sale of raffle tickets at supermarkets for prizes she acquired from various donors. Last year she rallied senior citizens in her apartment complex to buy new toys and games for the children of Child Haven during the Holidays. Donations from this effort filled a 32-seat passenger bus.

Also, during Make a Difference Day last year, MS. GOLDSTEIN was able to obtain donations of new clothing and toys from manufacturers all over the country for the children of the following organizations: Shade Tree, Safe Nest, The Mission, Child Haven, and St. Judes Ranch. There are many other organizations that MS. GOLDSTEIN participates in to aid the children and seniors of the community. He congratulated her for making Las Vegas a better place for the entire community.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Ceremonial Matters

Recognition of Senior of the Quarter

MINUTES – Continued:

MS. GOLDSTEIN accepted the plaque and thanked Council and the Senior Citizens Advisory Board. She noted that Para transit is a very important service for the disabled, because she would not be able to get to meetings and stay involved without it and would be sitting at home doing nothing.

(9:05 – 9:09)

1-183

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION AND PROCLAMATION PRESENTATION TO JENNIFER SPREITZER,
SCHOOL TEACHER FROM LAURA DEARING ELEMENTARY

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN recognized the efforts and dedication of JENNIFER SPREITZER, a second grade schoolteacher at Laura Dearing Elementary, who after listening to a song on the radio felt very touched and was reminded of the need of the children in her classroom. She then decided to call 94.1 Mix radio and told the audience about her students' situation. Las Vegas residents were so touched by her story that they started to call in and offer assistance for these children through the winter months by providing 35 pairs of new shoes, 40 jackets, 40 pairs of gloves, 500 pairs of socks, and other warm clothing. Toys and classroom materials were also donated. MAYOR GOODMAN noted that CHAD FORESTER from the radio station was also present and that the program was being broadcast live on the radio. He then presented MS. SPREITZER with a proclamation and proclaimed 1/16/2002 as Jennifer Spreitzer Day in the City of Las Vegas.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD interjected that MS. SPREITZER'S community involvement goes a long way. She once participated in a fundraiser and put him in jail.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Ceremonial Matters

Recognition and Proclamation Presentation to Jennifer Spreitzer, School Teacher from Laura Dearing Elementary

MINUTES – Continued:

MS. SPREITZER was very grateful for the unbelievable honor. She stated that in a month she is going to be losing her students, who were also present, and get 35 new children. She is going to greatly miss them.

MAYOR GOODMAN presented her with some City gifts for her and the class.

(9:09 – 9:14)

1-285

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF SCENIC BYWAYS DESIGNATION AND ITS COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted after the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint presentation on the Scenic Byways

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN announced that Las Vegas Boulevard north, from Sahara Avenue to Washington Avenue, was recently designated as a State Scenic Byway. As he spoke further, pictures were shown using a PowerPoint presentation. He indicated that this designation is very important for the City because it not only means that this portion of Las Vegas Boulevard will be included in all State brochures, which will not only promote tourism downtown, but also allows the City to tap into funding earmarked for scenic byways. This is just the beginning of the process. The City hopes to eventually have this stretch of Las Vegas Boulevard designated at the national level.

The following members of the Scenic Byways Committee received their certificates of recognition for their hard work: LUANNE BAKER, CHRIS MASEK, SUZANNE LeBLANC, BOB BELLIS, and JOHN SMITH. TRACI FUENTES was not present.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Ceremonial Matters

Recognition of Scenic Byways Designation and its Committee members

MINUTES – Continued:

ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL recognized the many staff members who also contributed greatly to make this happen: YORGO KAFAGAS (Team Leader), RICHARD HOOKER, FRANK REYNOLDS, CHARLES KAJKOWSKI, RICKI BARLOW, GARY LEOBOLD, NELSON HAMP, PATRICK SMITH, and ELAINE HOWARD.

(9:14 – 9:17)

1-466

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 55 and 73 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 53 to 2/6/2002 and Item 61 to 2/20/2002 -UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:19 – 9:20)

1-618

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of December 19, 2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED by Reference - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:20 – 9:21)

1-681

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Accounting Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 12/01/01 - 12/15/01

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$ 14,754,785.99
Total Payroll Checks	\$ 5,921,177.49
Total Wire Transfers	\$ 20,404,427.93
Total NBS and City Investments	\$ 0.00

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate Items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that Items 45, 46, and 47 be approved by the City Council, and that Item 48 be brought forward for discussion and consideration by the full Council.

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Vicki Hall, 3505 Kilbarry Court, Vicki Hall, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Rhona Owens-Esparza, 7321 Braswell Drive, Rhona Owens-Esparza, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Rita Tryon, 5528 Goldbrush Street, Rita Tryon, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for Gateway Arts Association, Location: 107 East Charleston Blvd., Date: January 19, 2002, Type: Special Event General, Event: Art and Music Festival, Responsible Person in Charge: Catherine Dixon - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Supper Club Liquor License, ARG Enterprises, Inc., dba Stuart Anderson's Black Angus, 2025 Village Center Circle, Ralph S. Roberts, Dir, Pres, COO, Patrick J. Kelvie, Dir, VP, Secy, Treas - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Supper Club Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 &48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Kehlo, Ltd., dba Mad Dogs & Englishmen Pub, 511-515 Las Vegas Blvd., South (Non-operational), Kenneth E. Hopkins, Dir, Pres, 50%, Laurie J. Oliver, Dir, Secy, Treas, 50%, To: Bola III, LLC, dba La Salsa Fresh Mexican Grill, 4949 North Rancho Drive, Lawrence T. Simon, Mgr, Mmbr and Monica A. Simon, Mgr, Mmbr, 13.77% jointly as husband and wife, Robert H. Whalen, Mgr, Mmbr, 5.3%, Laura A. Cunningham, Mgr, Mmbr, 1.06%, Nevada Franchise, LLC, Mmbr, 79.87%, Lawrence T. Simon, Mgr, Pres, Secy, Treas, SFFG, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Lawrence T. Simon, Pres, Secy, Treas - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Package Liquor License, American Drug Stores, Inc., dba Sav-On Drugs #9017, 160 South Rainbow Blvd., Raymond S. Taylor, Gen Mgr - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Package Liquor License, American Drug Stores, Inc., dba
Albertson's Store #6045, 1760 East Charleston Blvd., Eric S. Bartlett, Gen Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Package Liquor License, American Drug Stores, Inc., dba
Albertson's Store #6008, 4421 East Bonanza Rd., Brian M. Ashton, Gen Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Albertson's Inc., dba Albertson's Express #6008, 4401 East Bonanza Rd., Brian M. Ashton, Gen Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Package Liquor License, American Drug Stores, Inc., dba Albertson's Store #6030, 2400 East Bonanza Rd., Gerald L. Weddle, Gen Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Business Location and Business Name for a Burglar Alarm Service License, Security Guard ITT Alarm Systems of Las Vegas, Inc., dba From: Security Guard ITT Alarm Systems, 3254 Civic Center Drive, Suite A, To: Security Guard ITT of Las Vegas, 3925 North Martin L. King Blvd., Suite 111, Samuel C. Moore, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - North Las Vegas

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Business Location and Business Name for a Burglar Alarm Service License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Burglar Alarm Service License, ASLC, Inc., dba ASLC, Inc., From: 8610 South Eastern Ave., Suite 9, To: 6201 Industrial Road, Harris H. Bass, Pres, Secy, Daniel J. Pike, VP, Karen L. Miller, Treas, Larry E. Smith, QE - County

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Burglar Alarm Service License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Hong Ping Summers, dba Hong Ping Summers, 6236 Hobart Ave., Hong P. Summers, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Pamela Garrett, dba The Art of Touch, 3300 North Tenaya Way, Unit 2041, Pamela M. Garrett, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Laura C. Vanderburg, dba The Essence of Body Therapy, 4490 South Chessie Court, #B, Laura C. Vanderburg, 100% - County

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Kimberly Brooke Southard, dba Kimberly Brooke Southard, From: 2922 Lake East Drive, To: 2908 Lake East Drive, Kimberly B. Southard, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Patrick Brittain, dba Patrick Brittain, From: 2922 Lake East Drive, To: 2908 Lake East Drive, Patrick Brittain, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Deborah K. Cline, dba Golden Hands, From: 4021 Olive Street, To: 5727 Golden Leaf Ave., Deborah K. Cline, 100% - County

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of new Locksmith License, Pal Management Corporation, dba Pop-A-Lock, 152 Banks Ave., Carl W. Vincent, Dir, Pres, Treas, 50%, Stephen D. Gremillion, Dir, VP, Secy, 50% - Lafayette, LA

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of new Locksmith License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Martial Arts Instruction Business License, Amilcar Cipili, dba BR JiuJitsu, 5640 West Charleston Blvd., #D, Amilcar S. Cipili, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Martial Arts Instruction Business License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Body & Spirit Massage, Inc., dba Body & Spirit Massage, 10300 West Charleston Blvd., Suite 17, Nicolette K. Davis, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Massage Establishment License, Kimberly Brooke Southard, dba Pat On The Back, From: 2922 Lake East Drive, To: 2908 Lake East Drive, Kimberly B. Southard, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location and Business Name for a Massage Establishment License, Asian, Inc., dba From: 5000 Oakey Health Center, 5000 West Oakey Blvd., Suite D-2, To: Asiana Massage, 2922 Lake East Drive, Zhu H. Liu, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location and Business Name for a Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD indicated that members of the West Sahara Neighborhood Association contacted her office questioning the hours of operation for this location. JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, advised that the applicant was not present, as it is not required on Consent Agenda items. He suggested the matter be held in abeyance so that he could contact the applicant.

There was no further discussion.

(9:22 – 9:23)

1-733

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Location for a Massage Establishment License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, From: Tex O. Holster, dba Paradise Spa, 2127 Paradise Rd., Suite B, Tex O. Holster, 100%, To: Qing Lou, dba Paradise Spa, 2120 Paradise Rd., Suites A & B, Qing Lou, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Location for a Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Massage Establishment License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Paula Spradling, dba Health & Beauty Zone Day Spa, From: 7310 Smoke Ranch Rd., Suite M, To: 2620 Regatta Dr., Suites 112 & 113, Paula Spradling, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of revision number one to purchase order number 209316(RC), Annual Requirements Contract for Food Services for Detention - Department of Detention and Enforcement - Award recommended to: INSTITUTIONAL FOODSERVICE MANAGEMENT (\$165,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$165,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Detention & Enforcement

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On January 12, 1998, City Council approved the award of Purchase Order 209316 to Institutional Foodservice Management for food service for Detention and Enforcement facilities in accordance with Clark County Bid Number 3714-96 in the estimated amount of \$1,800,000. Revision number one will add an additional \$165,000 to the purchase order to cover additional food service requirements. The additional funds will be reimbursed to the City by Clark County.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of revision number one to purchase order number 209316 to Institutional Foodservice Management in the amount of \$165,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Cooperative Agreement and the issuance of a purchase order to provide snacks for the Department of Leisure Services' Safekey programs (CW) - Department of Leisure Services - Award recommended to: CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT (Estimated amount of \$504,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$504,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Leisure Services

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request provides snacks for the Department of Leisure Services' Safekey program.

This agreement is exempt from the competitive bidding requirement pursuant to NRS 227.180, Interlocal Contracts.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the interlocal cooperative agreement with Clark County School District and issuance of a purchase order for Safekey snacks for the period from July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002, in the estimated amount of \$504,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Cooperative Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 02.1730.12-RC, Stewart Avenue Sidewalk Infill - Maryland Parkway to Flower and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: CAPRIATI CONSTRUCTION (\$562,278 - Special Revenue Fund) - Wards 3 & 5 (Reese & Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$562,278

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Special Revenue Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The scope of work for this project includes the removal and construction of curb, gutter and base course; reconstruction of various sections of asphalt concrete roadway and construction of new sidewalk. Project location is Stewart Avenue - Maryland Parkway to Flower.

POC: Sid Perzy - (702) 547-1182

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 02.1730.12-RC, Stewart Avenue Sidewalk Infill - Maryland Parkway to Flower to Capriati Construction in the amount of \$562,278 and approve a construction conflicts and contingency reserve of \$112,456.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 02.15341.01-LED, Construction of Fire Station #43 and approve the construction conflicts & contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: CRISCI CUSTOM BUILDERS (\$2,433,598 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$2,433,598

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Funds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This project consists of the construction of a new 10,700 sf Fire Station with onsite and offsite improvements. The building is masonry, metal framing and stucco, concrete tile, metal standing seam and single ply roof. The work also includes alternate additive one, park improvements. Site location is 6420 Smoke Ranch Road.

POC: Joseph Crisci - (702) 873-2025

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 02.15341.01-LED, Construction of Fire Station #43 to Crisci Custom Builders in the amount of \$2,433,598 and approve a construction conflicts & contingency reserve of \$240,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE & BUSINESS

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Preapproval of award of Bid Number 02.1730.19-RC, Tropical Parkway/Azure Drive Overpass to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder or best bidder and approve the construction conflicts & contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works (monetary range \$5,000,000 to \$6,000,000 - Road & Flood CIP - NDOT Agreement) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$6,000,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Road & Flood CIP - NDOT Agreement

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The general scope of work for this project is the construction of a four (4) lane overpass crossing over US 95 at Tropical Parkway including earthwork for bridge approach ramps, asphalt pavement, curb & gutter, sidewalks, concrete barrier rails, fencing, underdeck and street lighting, pavement markings and bridge aesthetic enhancements. Also, realignment of Sky Pointe Drive, which includes asphalt pavement, curb & gutter, sidewalk, concrete barrier rails, fencing, pavement markings, street lighting and a traffic signal at the intersection of Tropical Parkway (Azure Drive) and Sky Pointe Drive.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council preapprove the award of Bid Number 02.1730.19-RC, Tropical Parkway/Azure Drive Overpass to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder or best bidder and approve a construction conflicts & contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FIRE & RESCUE

DIRECTOR: DAVID L. WASHINGTON

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Mutual Aid Agreement with Nellis Air Force Base for fire protection and hazardous material incident response - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This mutual aid agreement is a two (2) year renewal with Nellis Air Force Base to secure to each the benefits of mutual aid in fire prevention, hazardous incident response, and the protection of life and property from fire.

RECOMMENDATION:

Las Vegas Fire & Rescue recommends approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Mutual Aid Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FIRE & RESCUE

DIRECTOR: DAVID L. WASHINGTON

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to appoint Fire Communications Supervisor Louis Amell to serve on the Southern Nevada Area Communications Council Board on behalf of the City of Las Vegas - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On June 9, 1997 the City of Las Vegas entered into an interlocal agreement with the Southern Nevada Area Communications Council (SNACC) to be part of a radio communications system. In accordance with Article 6 of the Cooperative Agreement, the governing body of the City appoints a City representative to serve on the SNACC Board. With the retirement of our last Fire Communications Supervisor, we need to appoint our replacement to the SNACC Board.

RECOMMENDATION:

Las Vegas Fire & Rescue recommends the appointment of Mr. Louis Amell.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Alarmco Inc. for the installation of an alarm system at the Fellowship Hall and Sanctuary Buildings of the Downtown Community Center located at 302 South 9th Street in the amount of \$2,825 for installation and \$60 monthly monitoring service fee to be paid from Community Development Block Grant funds - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

☒

Budget Funds Available

☐

Augmentation Required

Amount: \$2,825 + \$60 monthly fee

Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs/Neigh. Devel.

Funding Source: Community Development Block Grant

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On April 5, 2000, the City Council approved the purchase of the former First Baptist Church located at 302 South 9th Street (9th and Bridger) to be utilized as the Downtown Community Center. In order to provide appropriate security and property protection, it has been determined that the services of the city's current security provider, Alarmco Inc., provide this service in the amount of \$2,825.00 for installation and \$60.00 monthly monitoring fee.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve this Professional Services Agreement with Alarmco Inc.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Contract #390 between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada for a Research Project (ground vibrations associated with compaction equipment) - (\$100,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$100,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Interlocal Contract #390 by and between the City of Las Vegas and Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada applies to a research study to provide guidelines to aid in developing Uniform Standard Specifications regarding acceptable ground vibrations associated with compaction equipment. The RTC Board of Directors approved this contract at their December 13, 2001 meeting. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$100,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract #390

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Contract #392 between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada for the Casino Center One Way Couplet with 4th Street (\$200,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Wards 3 & 5 (Reese & Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$200,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Interlocal Contract applies to the development of a traffic study/report that studies and analyses of existing data as provided by the City with regard to one-way street corridors, existing and future traffic volumes, property ownership, right-of-way costs, unit construction costs and project area GIS mapping to allow one-way corridors for Casino Center and allow Bonneville and Clark to become a one-way couplet. The RTC Board of Directors approved this contract at their December 13, 2001 meeting. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$200,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract #392

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Contract LAS.19.B.01, a replacement contract between the City of Las Vegas and the Clark County Regional Flood Control District for construction of the Owens Avenue System (Rancho Drive to I-15) - (\$4,648,878 - Clark County Regional Flood Control District) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$4,648,878

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: CCRFCD

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Replacement Interlocal Contract LAS.19.B.01 by and between the City of Las Vegas and the Clark County Regional Flood Control District applies to construction and construction management for the Owens Avenue System (Rancho Drive to I-15). The CCRFCD's Board of Directors approved this contract at their December 13, 2001 meeting. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$4,648,878.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract LAS.19.B.01

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Real Property Purchase Agreement and Escrow Instructions with Jermac, a Nevada limited liability company for the purchase of right-of-way on the west side of Rainbow Boulevard, north of Vegas Drive, required for the Rainbow Boulevard Improvement Project - Silverstream Avenue to Smoke Ranch Road (\$785,865 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$785,865

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City has a road improvement project for Rainbow Boulevard that effects this property. The attached agreement will allow the City to purchase land for right-of-way needed for road construction. The owners will be allowed temporary access to the purchased right-of-way land to construct utility laterals and perform grading. Access will expire when road construction begins. The project was approved for appraisal and R/W purchase by City Council on February 21, 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Real Property Purchase Agreement and Escrow Instructions

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 &48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Contract Modification with Las Vegas Paving Corporation, general contractor for the I-15 Freeway Channel project (\$700,000 - Clark County Regional Flood Control District) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$700,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Clark County Regional Flood Control District

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This item provides funding for contract modifications that exceed the original contingency amount. With this contract modification the total amount of contingency monies will still be only 4% of the construction cost.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Contract Modification #2
2. I-15 Freeway Channel Construction Supplement #2
3. I-15 Freeway Channel Project Summary of Cost Overruns

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Kenneth J. Erlanger on behalf of Casino Garces, LLC, owner (southwest corner of Garces Avenue and Casino Center Boulevard) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The propose encroachment consists of an approximate 7' wide strip of landscaping on the south side of Garces Avenue extending approximately 130' westward from Casino Center Boulevard consisting of ground cover, trees, and a sprinkler system to satisfy a condition of Z-0100-64(172) for the proposed auxiliary parking lot for the law offices of Raleigh, Hunt and McGarry. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (southwest corner of Garces Avenue and Casino Center Boulevard)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from R.R. Properties, LLC, owner (Fourth Street south of Gass Avenue) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment consists of an approximate 4' wide strip of landscaping on the east side of Fourth Street extending approximately 37' along the property line consisting of trees, shrubs, rocks, and a sprinkler system to satisfy a condition of Z-0100-64(178) for the proposed parking lot at 813 South Fourth Street. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (813 South Fourth Street)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval authorizing staff to submit an application to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) for 30 acres of land located in the vicinity of Azure Drive and El Capitan Way for a public park (\$100 - Public Works/Real Estate/Rental of Land) - County (Near Ward 6 - Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$100 application fee

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: PW Real Estate/Rental of Land

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City has submitted a Right-of-Way (R/W) application to BLM for roadway easements relating to the Durango S Curve realignment. The R/W would need to go through BLM property that the Library District has a BLM lease on land located at Azure & Durango. The City is securing the property at Azure and El Capitan in order to negotiate a trade with the Library Dist. for the property required to complete the roadway realignment.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 1/14/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval with the Real Estate Manager to execute the application

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Application
2. Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a Lease Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Nevada Youth Football for office space located at 1651 South Buffalo Drive - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Council approved a line item 1/3/01 to enter into negotiations w/NV Youth Football to use office space listed above. Lease term=5 years w/one 5 year option. NV Youth is responsible for all construction improvements, utility usage & custodial/telephone/landscaping services. CLV will have the potential to earn \$100,000+ in revenue if the option to renew is exercised after the initial term to help defray costs of the initial purchase. Approval of the Lease will allow NV Youth to continue to provide ongoing football recreational activities for the youth of our community in the All American Park.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 1/14/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Lease Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS

Items 27 &48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval to authorize the lease and renovation of office space located at 1052 West Owens Avenue within Nucleus Plaza Shopping Center and approval of expenditures not to exceed \$45,000 to Priority One Commercial, acting on behalf of the City of Las Vegas as rental agent (\$45,000 - Community Development Block Grant/Program Income) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$45,000**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Neighborhood Services**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: CDBG/Program Income****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City owns a portion of office space (approximately 38,000 sq. ft.) within Nucleus Plaza. All the space is currently leased with the exception of approximately 1,300 sq. ft. This office remained vacant for years and is a concrete shell within the complex. Neighborhood Services is currently renting office space from Urban America. Preparing the space into a rentable area will give the City the option of allowing Neighborhood Services to relocate and relieve the Department from paying rent or allow the City to rent the space to a third party and earn revenue.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 1/14/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval with the Real Estate Manager to execute the letter.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Leasing Agent Agreement Letter

MOTION:**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26 and 28-47 – UNANIMOUS****Items 27 & 48: ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 under separate actions (see individual items)****MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:17)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a request to allow the transfer of title of portions of land to individual members of the LLC listed in the Real Property Purchase and Sale Agreement executed May 16, 2001, between the City of Las Vegas and Box Canyon Professional Park, LLC for property located in the Las Vegas Technology Center - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

To conclude the escrow between the City and Developer, the Developer has asked for consent to transfer title of the property in order that individual parcels may be formed and owned by members of the LLC. The City requires that the assignment from Developer require the members to assume and comply with the Agreement and all transfers would simultaneously occur.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 1/14/2002 Real Estate Committee forwarded this item to City Council with no recommendation. Staff recommends approval of the City's consent to simultaneously transfer title of parcels to individual LLC members listed on attached Disclosure subject to the assignment requiring the members to assume and comply with the Agreement and City Manager be authorized to execute any related documents.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda memo
2. Disclosure of Principals from Developer as of January 2, 2002
3. Letter dated December 28, 2001, from Community Bank of Nevada
4. Site map

MOTION:

BROWN – ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 with direction to City Manager Valentine to extend the default date - UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Consent – Real Estate

Item 48 – Approval of a request to allow the transfer of title of portions of land to individual members of the LLC listed in the Real Property Purchase and Sale Agreement executed May 16, 2001, between the City of Las Vegas and Box Canyon Professional Park, LLC for property located in the Las Vegas Technology Center

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN BROWN questioned the Agreement. DOUG LEIN, Senior Development Officer, explained that the Box Canyon Professional Park requested that, under the arrangement of their partnership, the lender would allow a one time transfer of fee simple title so that they could allow individual mortgages to be placed on the property. That request was not allowed under the original contract. He noted that the applicant and the bank were informed of the Council meeting and were told to be present to express any concerns they might have.

He added that, by the original contract, this matter is now in default. Closing was supposed to be the first part of December; however, a written notice of default to cure was issued and gave the applicant through January 17, 2002 to close, pursuant of the terms of the contract. Subsequently, Box Canyon came forward indicating that they had a problem with their lender because of the one time transfer restriction during the first 60 months. Therefore, approval of the subject item would allow a one-time transfer of fee simple title for the individual buyers' buildings on the master planned project. The deed restrictions for the 60-month hold would be in place on the individual ten transactions, which would keep the integrity of the contract in place.

COUNCILMAN BROWN confirmed with MR. LEIN that the lending bank was uncomfortable with the City's standard Technology Park contract. MR. LEIN further added that representatives of the bank felt it was very restrictive. He explained that it is restrictive to maintain the integrity of the park and to avoid land speculation. The bank raised issue with the one-time transfer, and verbally raised other issues at the last moment at the Real Estate Committee meeting on 1/14/2002, but there was no written request submitted for any other changes to the subject contract. The applicant could still close escrow on 1/17/2002 the way the contract is written.

COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that he does not disagree with the two options in the backup that the bank would accept if the City moves forward, as long as the land is sold to a qualified broker. MR. LEIN interjected and explained that the project does not involve a limited liability scenario. They are all equal general partners. The main person that is involved doing the paperwork is one of the ten owners. There is no intent to resell for commission. If any of the owners do not start development within the first twelve months, the City can take back their property, as a matter of deed restriction.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Consent – Real Estate

Item 48 – Approval of a request to allow the transfer of title of portions of land to individual members of the LLC listed in the Real Property Purchase and Sale Agreement executed May 16, 2001, between the City of Las Vegas and Box Canyon Professional Park, LLC for property located in the Las Vegas Technology Center

MINUTES - Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN stressed the importance of making sure a representative of Box Canyon is present to acknowledge on the record the restrictions, otherwise someone from the group could be back before the City Council asking for relief on a condition they did not fully comprehend. He asked the matter be trailed so that a representative of Box Canyon or the bank could be contacted and asked to attend and acknowledge the conditions, or he would not support the agreement.

MAYOR GOODMAN suggested the various trusts of the LLC be required to disclose their members in order for COUNCILMAN BROWN to make a sound judgment. COUNCILMAN BROWN concurred, explaining that even though there are some excellent individuals involved in this project, which is going to be of great benefit for the City and the community, he feels uncomfortable with not having full disclosure of the principles and without having an acknowledgement of the conditions.

MAYOR GOODMAN recommended the matter be held for two weeks. MR. LEIN clarified that holding the matter would cause a problem, as the non-performance letter gave an absolute closing date of 1/17/2002. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TERESITA PONTICELLO advised that, at the direction of the City Council, the City Manager could issue an extension of the default date.

There was no further discussion.

(9:23 – 9:35)

1-780

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City Manager (CM) Report will be a vehicle for the City Manager to update the Council on emerging issues that may have an impact on the City of Las Vegas. The CM Report will be a recurring item for every Council meeting. If there are no items for the particular meeting, the City Manager will recommend that the item be stricken.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required. A report was given.

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager

BETSY FRETWELL, Assistant City Manager

(9:46 – 9:55)

1-1583

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: ADMINISTRATIVE**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE

Report and possible action on the outcome of the 2001 federal legislative efforts and on the 2002 Federal Legislative Plan and Priorities

Fiscal Impact☒ **X****No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Last year the Council approved a Federal Legislative Agenda that outlined four priority areas. Those priority areas were appropriation requests, grants, high priority issues, and legislation. Staff will report on the City's success in those areas, as well as provide an outline of the 2002 Federal Legislative Agenda.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council accept the reports and approve the 2002 Federal Action Plan.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

2001 Federal Legislative Agenda

Submitted at the meeting: 2002 Federal Legislative Agenda

MOTION:**None required. A report was given.****MINUTES:**

ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL gave an overview on some of the accomplishments in working with the federal delegation this year. The City benefited to the tune of about \$750,000 in grants from its aggressive efforts, with total appropriations for this year at a total of \$3,250,000, which is to be used mainly to help displaced workers. She noted that of significant importance is the \$500,000 allocation to the City to be used in conjunction with a private monorail match to assist the City in funding the downtown leg of the monorail system. Staff has also been working very hard on major pieces of legislation anticipated this year, such as the TEA 21 reauthorization bill, as well as any public lands management bills.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Administrative

Item 50 – Report and possible action on the outcome of the 2001 federal legislative efforts and on the 2002 Federal Legislative Plan and Priorities

MINUTES – Continued:

She then referred to the 2002 Federal Legislative Agenda (made a part of these Final Minutes) and outlined some of the appropriation and legislative priorities and programs and initiatives of interest to the City for the following year. After meeting with the Council, members of the delegation, and the various department directors, she tried to match funding sources of high priority to the City. Staff would like to continue to focus on downtown revitalization, because there tends to be quite a bit of federal funding available for those types of projects. Additionally, federal funding will be pursued for senior services, senior assistance, and small business assistance.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD thanked ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL for her astuteness in identifying federal funding for seniors, especially since the events of 9/11/2001.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD indicated that after having the wonderful opportunity to speak with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, ANTHONY PRINCIPE, she believes that there is great opportunity to work with the Veterans Affairs Office for tapping into resources that have not been pursued in order to help Veterans. In looking at statistics of homeless persons, one-third of those are also Veterans.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that he is in great support of identifying funding for small businesses. He thanked ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL for seeking funds to assist ex-offenders.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed that assistance for Veterans be added to the list of priorities.

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD instructed ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL to speak with representatives of the Labor Department about being able to use the \$1.75 million appropriated for retraining programs that are structured toward economic diversification, and to look into whether some of those funds could be appropriated to existing structured programs already in place through EOB or the United Way to avoid duplication of funding.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stressed that he would like staff to identify funding to reinstitute the Revolving Loan Program as well as potential internships and summer hires.

(9:55 – 10:10)

1-1955

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report and possible action on the sale of advertising on City of Las Vegas property

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Staff has been discussing the sale of advertising on City of Las Vegas property. "Property" not only refers to land, but also City vehicles such as buses and trucks and structures such as park benches, suitable fixtures, or even buildings.

Should the Council choose to proceed with this program, the next step in the process would be a request for qualifications to look for a partner on this revenue opportunity. Rather than select certain forms of advertising or specific pieces of property, it is suggested that the City offers all property as a potential for this program. Upon review, the City will pick and choose which options are appropriate and beneficial to the City. It should be stressed that the City has final say over what advertising is used and where it is used. This approach is intended to solicit some creative and potentially lucrative proposals.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council accept the report and direct staff accordingly.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

CMIR on "Advertising on City Property"

Submitted after the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint document

MOTION:

REESE – AUTHORIZED the dedication of two staff members to expeditiously explore this issue, recognizing that the integrity of the City has to be protected; taking into consideration any associated First Amendment issues, the current sponsorships and signage with the City, and regulations regarding revenue generated on properties with BLM land leases; evaluate the use of billboards as a revenue source including possible negotiation for removing some existing billboards in exchange for allowing billboards on certain City sites; and a policy that is coupled with the analysis - **UNANIMOUS**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Administrative

Item 51 – Report and possible action on the sale of advertising on City of Las Vegas property

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Items 51 and 52 is made a part of these Final Minutes under Item 51.

APPEARANCES:

BETSY FRETWELL, Assistant City Manager

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

JOHN REDLEIN, Assistant City Attorney

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD stressed that he does not want the older areas to be saturated with billboards.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed that, in addition to identifying new money, staff identify ways to save current expenses.

(10:10 – 10:28)

1-2646

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER

DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible action on operating principles for the sale of advertising on City of Las Vegas property

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Henceforth, the City of Las Vegas resolves that a limited amount of commercial advertising in and on City property may be allowed. Advertising on City property may be authorized: 1) when it is in the financial interest of the City of Las Vegas to do so; 2) when such advertising may be conducted without interference with the usual programming and the conduct of City business; and 3) when the advertising message is neither contrary to the interests or objectives of the City government or offensive or harmful in any way to the citizens the City represents. Recognizing that the profitability and the perceived propriety of such advertising activities may be reevaluated, the City Council may terminate all involvement in such endeavors at any time. Detailed operational policies to implement these objectives shall be developed and enforced by the City Manager or her designee.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council approve the operating principles for the sale of advertising on City of Las Vegas property.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended to use the operating principles to guide the Request for Proposal – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: A previous motion by REESE rescinded his initial motion to authorize the dedication of staff to expeditiously explore this issue. Both motions carried unanimously.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Administrative

Item 52 – Discussion and possible action on operating principles for the sale of advertising on City of Las property

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Items 51 and 52 is made a part of these Final Minutes under Item 51.

APPEARANCES:

BETSY FRETWELL, Assistant City Manager

(10:28 – 10:31)

1-2655/2-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: ADMINISTRATIVE**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report and possible action on the receipt by the City of a 1.75 million dollar appropriation from the Federal Government toward funding for displaced worker initiatives and assistance in response to the September 11, 2001, post-terrorist attack layoffs

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

It is anticipated that within 30-days after receiving the U.S. Department of Labor appropriation of 1.75 million dollars, distribution of funding assistance to the displaced workers of September 11th events will begin. While there are currently effective programs in place administered by non-profits to help those displaced workers, this funding allows the CLV through the Neighborhood Services Department to administer and coordinate all efforts to avoid service duplication. Neighborhood Services Department will distribute the federal funding to qualified program partners through a professional services contract based on the accepted federal reimbursement regulations. Subsequently, the City Council will enter into agreements for specific services with each program partner resulting in the allocation of funding for the recommended program when the federal appropriation becomes available.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council accept the US Department of Labor appropriation of 1.75 million dollars and direct Neighborhood Services to identify, evaluate and recommend programs for funding within 30-days of receiving the appropriation.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Opportunities for Displaced Workers Program Memo

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 55 and 73 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 53 to 2/6/2002 and Item 61 to 2/20/2002 -UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:19 – 9:20)

1-618

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Report on downtown multipurpose Events Arena by Las Vegas Events Center, Inc. located at the northeast corner of Main Street and Stewart Avenue - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In order to update the City Council regarding the development of the downtown multipurpose Events Arena, the Las Vegas Events Center, Inc. has prepared a status report.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only; no action required

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Site Map

Submitted at the meeting: Project Overview binder

Video shown but not submitted

Submitted after the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint presentation

MOTION:

None required. A report was given.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that although he would recuse himself on the matter because of his membership of the 501-C3 that was formed to explore this matter, he is in full support of the project.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY disclosed that he accepted an invitation to view the facility in Boise and asked CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC'S opinion as to whether he should abstain. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC indicated that it was appropriate to view the facility because sometimes the only way to understand a facility or a project is through a visit. It is clearly an exception to the Ethics prohibitions.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN gave a brief history of the matter. During discussions between the City and DON SNYDER, President, Boyd Gaming Corporation, concerning the construction of a

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Business Development

Item 54 – Report on downtown multipurpose Events Arena by Las Vegas Events Center, Inc., located at the northeast corner of Main Street and Stewart Avenue

MINUTES – Continued:

downtown parking facility, it was brought to the City's attention that LARRY LEASURE, Renaissance Resources Group (RRG), Limited Liability Company, a developer from Boise, Idaho, might be interested in developing a civic center in the downtown area. Consequently, discussion took place about a potential deal on an exchange of Boyd Group property at the corner of Main Street and Stewart Avenue in forgiveness of the obligation for the parking garage. Arrangements were made for various individuals involved to go to Boise, Idaho, to view the arena there. The Boise arena turned out to be extraordinary in that it captured a sense of community that he had not seen since he used to attend the UNLV basketball games to watch the original Running Rebels play.

As a strong proponent of the revitalization of the downtown area, MR. SNYDER and others urged the City to establish a procedure by which to explore the construction of a civic center in the downtown area. A 501-C3 non-profit corporation, of which he is a member to protect the City's interests, was then formed. After undergoing Request for Proposal process, MR. LEASURE was selected as the developer of this civic center.

MR. SNYDER stated that, as someone who has had a great deal of involvement with downtown redevelopment over the course of several years, which culminated in the development of the Fremont Street Experience, he has a good feeling about this project.

He pointed out that MR. LEASURE would speak more in-depth about the project, but gave a brief overview about MR. LEASURE and his company. MR. LEASURE is the Chairman of the White-Leasure Development Company, which is a long-established real estate development company in Boise, Idaho. MR. LEASURE brought this concept to the City because he saw the potential for the same successful project here. The events center in Boise has tremendously helped the redevelopment of its downtown area.

MR. SNYDER then spoke a few words about JOSEPH BRIGLIA, Senior Vice President, SMG, which is a premier company in the business of managing public and private arenas, stadiums, performing arts centers, and convention centers. The joint venture between Hyatt Hotels and Aramark Corporation has nearly 140 facilities around the country that operate under SMG. Fifty-six of those facilities are arenas, so the company has the type of experience that substantially enhances the City's ability to do this project right. SMG joined with MR. LEASURE in successfully responding to the Request for Proposal for this project.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Business Development

Item 54 – Report on downtown multipurpose Events Arena by Las Vegas Events Center, Inc., located at the northeast corner of Main Street and Stewart Avenue

MINUTES – Continued:

He indicated that as the Mayor noted, discussions on this project commenced approximately two years ago between MARK PARIS, CEO and President, Fremont Street Experience, and MR. LEASURE on a project in the downtown area. The proposal is being brought forward because the people involved, in particular Boyd Gaming, spent a tremendous amount of time in making sure that the concept was sound and that the right developer was chosen. He concluded that the players involved have done their due diligence on this project and are ready to move forward quickly with this project to be open for the hockey season that starts in October 2003.

MR. LEASURE explained that RRG primarily develops throughout the eight western states in both the downtown redevelopment and shopping center industry. He indicated that RRG truly believes that a Las Vegas Events Center would be a major magnet in addition to all the activities that are taking place to redevelop the downtown area.

He then had a video played depicting the Boise arena to give the Council and audience a concept of the intended project for Las Vegas. The video showed various members of the public and the business community in Downtown Boise who feel that the Events Center is a very successful development that has brought the community together, served as a catalyst for the establishment of many new businesses, and made existing businesses in the vicinity more prosperous. The video also depicted the various events that the Events Center can accommodate within a very short timeframe.

Using a PowerPoint presentation, MR. LEASURE gave an overview of the Boise project and the proposed Las Vegas Events Arena. He indicated that the Boise project has an attached hotel component that is not necessary for the Downtown Las Vegas Events Arena because of the numerous rooms already available. However, executive/luxury suites are part of this proposal. The main function intended for the arena is to house a professional hockey team as the anchor tenant, with additional sports to be accommodated during off-season. He listed the various events that could be held in this arena, from sports and concerts to trade shows and special events, as well as the many amenities that would be offered.

MR. LEASURE pointed out that professional hockey and other professional sports have not been successful in the past in the Las Vegas community because of the economics of the transactions and the size of the facility. It is not very exciting to get 4,000 in a 15,000-seat facility. That is why the proposed facility will be of a smaller size.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Business Development

Item 54 – Report on downtown multipurpose Events Arena by Las Vegas Events Center, Inc., located at the northeast corner of Main Street and Stewart Avenue

MINUTES – Continued:

He continued and briefly discussed the qualifications of SMG, the managing partner, and touched on its extended experience in these types of facilities.

Lastly, MR. LEASURE went over a potential schedule of events for the Downtown Las Vegas Events Arena's first year of operation and provided a schematic view of the various levels intended for the facility that would consist of various mixed uses. A competition is intended to obtain an actual design of the facility.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD questioned how the project would be financed. MR. LEASURE answered that RRG is in the preliminary stages of looking at a number of options to finance the facility. He noted that he was not present to request funding, but to ask for a direction to begin to work with staff in looking at the various public/private partnership options. Tax exempt financing is an area that should be looked into thoroughly. The private side is being substantially depended on for funding, for naming rights, sponsorships, and leasing of the luxury suites. All of the income derived from the retail and other elements around the facility on the site would go back into the facility.

The Las Vegas Events Center would end up as the non-profit owner of the facility, and RRG would be bringing other types of non-recourse bond financing to the table.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD asked how the Boise arena was financed. MR. LEASURE replied that in the case of Boise the City provided free land, the infrastructure, and the parking, and then the private sector built the remaining structure through naming rights and sponsorships. In the case of Vancouver, Washington, the city is funding non-recourse bonds with limited amount of exposure.

MR. SNYDER interjected that the financing portion is a major component of the project that has to be overcome. He opined that the proposed project lends itself to a very attractive opportunity, from a financing point of view. There are several investment banks with which active conversations are already being held. RRG clearly understands what it can and cannot do in terms of financing, but RRG would like to work with staff in order to take advantage of tax-exempt interest rates and to use the City's bonding capacity without using the City's credit. It is important to put together all the elements into a structure that works for all.

COUNCILMAN REESE expressed his support for the proposal, because it would be wonderful for the downtown area. However, he is a little concerned about the length of time it might take to get the arena up and running given the bidding process, and because he has heard rumors that MICHAEL GAUGHAN of the Orleans wants to do a similar project. He would like the process

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Business Development

Item 54 – Report on downtown multipurpose Events Arena by Las Vegas Events Center, Inc., located at the northeast corner of Main Street and Stewart Avenue

MINUTES – Continued:

on this project expedited to make sure that the City is the first to have a hockey team, which in his opinion is going to make or break the arena. MR. SNYDER assured COUNCILMAN REESE that serious negotiations are currently taking place with two major tenants.

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that after discussions with boxing promoters, he has realized that there is a need for smaller arenas. He fully supported the idea and urged MR. SNYDER to move rapidly.

MR. SNYDER pointed out that that it is important to move rapidly, but the perfect opportunity exists for the right type of components that attract the right type of people, and having affordable ticket prices is an important aspect of it. One element that will contribute to that affordability is that there is no need to build parking for the structure, because there is already ample distributed parking throughout the downtown area. That is something that is really going to help the businesses environment downtown and is going to attract new people that want to get on those main corridors and lowers the cost associated with building the facility. MR. LEASURE noted that an excess of 450,000 cars were brought to the public parking system in downtown Boise, which generated substantial revenue.

COUNCILMAN MACK added that having transportation that is affordable for tourists and locals is essential to this project, so the proposed monorail route to the downtown is vital. MR. SNYDER indicated that Boyd Gaming is actively involved in the monorail conversations and is prepared to provide part of the siting for the facility that will be the downtown terminal for the monorail, because it ties extremely well to the development of this arena, as well as to providing a gateway to the 61 acres that the City owns. Boyd Gaming believes that this project provides an opportunity to create another economic engine downtown and is really in everybody's best interest.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked MR. SNYDER if he personally believes the outlook is that good on this project. MR. SNYDER answered that he is totally convinced that it is a viable project, and that all the players are on the right track. Before stating publicly that it is a doable project, the parties involved thoroughly researched it. He now feels extremely comfortable with it, because there are a lot of elements that have come together to make it a real deal. He requested the City's assistance in facilitating the project to take advantage of the low interest rates currently available.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Business Development

Item 54 – Report on downtown multipurpose Events Arena by Las Vegas Events Center, Inc., located at the northeast corner of Main Street and Stewart Avenue

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY was very excited about the project and expressed his gratitude for the presentation to better inform the public, who had many speculations. He also thanked MR. PARIS for briefing him and keeping him apprised on this project. He is looking forward to the employment opportunities and the excitement this project will bring downtown.

MAYOR GOODMAN remarked that this project is a perfect example of what can be accomplished with a joint effort.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to provide status reports on this project under Emerging Issues.

There was no further discussion.

(10:31 – 11:20)

2-62

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Sherrie Lea Vandament, 2630 Sherwood #6, Las Vegas, NV 89109

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 55 and 73 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 53 to 2/6/2002 and Item 61 to 2/20/2002 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:19 – 9:20)

1-618

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - Presentation and acceptance of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2001

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This is a report on the results of the audit performed by the City's independent, outside auditor. No action is required. NRS 354.624 requires an annual audit of the City be conducted by an independent accounting firm. It further requires the audit report and the CAFR be presented to the governing body with the recommendations and the summary of narrative comments. The audit was conducted by KPMG LLP. The City received an unqualified opinion with no material findings, improvements or recommendations. The report was filed as a public record with the City Clerk, Clark County Clerk, and Nevada Department of Taxation.

RECOMMENDATION:

None

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:**REESE – ACCEPTED the Report – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting****MINUTES:**

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, indicated that KPMG conducted the audit for the fiscal year ended 2001 in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and found no problems. An unqualified opinion was issued. The report has been filed with the City Clerk, Clark County Clerk, and the Nevada Department of Taxation. He thanked JOE WILLIAMS and CANDACE FALDER of his staff for working with KPMG.

There was no further discussion.

(11:20 – 11:22)

2-1765

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action to transfer \$24,000 in funding from the General Fund to the Fire Services Capital Project Fund (CPF) for the kitchen remodel at Fire Station 5 - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$24,000☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Budget & Finance Division☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Fire and Rescue Services had originally budgeted for the kitchen remodel at Fire Station 5 within the General Fund operating budget for Support Services. This project is a capital improvement that should be reflected as a capital project.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve transfer of \$24,000 from the General Fund to the Fire Services CPF accomplished through the transfer of budget appropriation from Fire & Rescue Services General Fund to Operating Transfers Out of the General Fund.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, explained that the kitchen remodel for Fire Station No. 5 is a capital project that should have been reflected as such. He recommended approval of the transfer of funds.

There was no further discussion.

(11:22 – 11:24)

2-1840

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action to transfer \$186,000 in funding from the Automotive Services Internal Service Fund (ISF) to the City Facilities Capital Project Fund (CPF) for the completion of the Automated Fuel System Project

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$186,000☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Budget & Finance Division☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Automotive Services ISF**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Current Capital Project funding for the Automated Fuel System is \$350,000. Current estimates to complete the project are \$536,000. The current fuel system has been in place for over 15 years, is obsolete, unreliable, and maintenance costs are unacceptably high. This project will replace the automated Gasboy fuel system that dispenses fuel at 11 remote City owned fueling sites.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve transfer of \$186,000 from the Automotive Services Internal Service Fund (ISF) to the City Facilities Capital Project Fund (CPF).

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, indicated that the current fuel system has been in place for over 15 years, is obsolete, unreliable, and maintenance costs are getting to be very high. Funding in the amount of \$350,000 has been on the Capital Improvement Projects list for four years while technology improved. The \$186,000 transfer is necessary in order to complete the project at an estimated cost of \$536,000.

There was no further discussion.

(11:24 – 11:25)

2-1921

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a One Year Review for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Byron Bradley, dba The Masters Touch, 1973 Verbania Dr., Byron E. Bradley, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a One Year Review for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be given following discussion of this item at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The applicant was not present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, reported that the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department has no new areas of concern and recommended approval.

There was no further discussion.

(11:25 – 11:26)

2-1983

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a One Year Review of an Independent Massage Therapist License, Kimberly Schiffer-Gant, dba Kimberly Schiffer-Gant, 2298 Palora Ave., Kimberly Schiffer-Gant, 100% - County

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a One Year Review of an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be given following discussion of this item at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The applicant was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, reported that the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department has no new areas of concern and recommended approval.

MS. SCHIFFER-GANT indicated that she needs to work in order to support her family.

There was no further discussion.

(11:26 – 11:27)

2-2008

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, From: Hashem & Khalid, Rageh H. Hashem, 50%, Marim A. Khalid, 50%, To: Lucky Seven Market, dba Lucky Seven Market, 1401 West Lake Mead Blvd., Rageh H. Hashem, Ptnr, 12 1/2%, Marim A. Khalid, Ptnr, 12 1/2%, Worku Y. Berhanu, Ptnr, 37 1/2%, Fekadu W. Bibiso, Ptnr, 37 1/2% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be given following discussion of this item at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 55 and 73 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 53 to 2/6/2002 and Item 61 to 2/20/2002 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:19 – 9:20)

1-618

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 14 slots subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: Bottles, Inc., dba Bottle Collectors Liquor Shop & Lounge, Steven D. Pilkington, Dir, Pres, Secy, 80%, Thomas E. Jacoby, Dir, Treas, 10%, Lynn B. Cohen, Administratrix, 10%, To: FSMD, Inc., dba Bottle Collectors Liquor Shop, 1328 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Sally A. Savarese, Dir, Pres, 25%, Frank G. Savarese, Dir, VP, 25%, Marvin C. Heath, Dir, VP, 25%, David G. Frye, Dir, Secy, Treas, 25% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 14 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Frank Savarese

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The applicants were present. DAVID FRYE, 4671 Gatos Court, stated that this is a dream come true for all of the parties involved.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, recommended approval.

There was no further discussion.

(11:27 – 11:28)

2-2043

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Supper Club Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Gourmet Systems of Nevada, Inc., dba Applebee's Neighborhood Grill & Bar, 8730 West Charleston Blvd., Carin L. Stutz, Dir, Pres, Robert T. Steinkamp, Dir, Secy, Treas, Jon S. Dettman, Gen Mgr - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Supper Club Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Jeffrey A. Silver, Esq.
3. Map

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because MR. SILVER is part of a firm that represents him and his corporation and M. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, recommended a temporary approval and requested authorization to issue the permanent license once the applicant meets all the required conditions.

ATTORNEY JEFF SILVER, Gordon-Silver Law Firm, appeared representing Applebee's International and Gourmet Systems of Nevada. He supported the recommendation and thanked MR. DiFIORE, EDDIE RAINES, and Business Services' staff for their assistance in this matter so that the opening can occur in a timely manner. It is a pleasure to do business with the City.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 63 – Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Supper Club Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Gourmet Systems of Nevada, Inc., dba Applebee's Neighborhood Grill & Bar, 8730 West Charleston Blvd., Carin L. Stutz, Dir, Pres, Robert T. Steinkamp, Dir, Secy, Treas, Jon S. Dettman, Gen Mgr

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(11:28 – 11:30)

2-2110

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICE**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding approval of Listing of Qualified Contractors for the period January 2, 2002 through January 1, 2004 pursuant to City of Las Vegas Qualification Plan (NOTE: Only as to B&H Construction, Inc.)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Finance/Purchasing☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

At the January 2, 2002 Council Meeting, the City Council approved the Listing of Qualified Contractors for the period January 2, 2002 through January 1, 2004. In that Listing of 31 Contractors, City Council approved as qualified 29 contractors; approved as disqualified one (1) contractor; and held in abeyance the approval of one (1) contractor until the January 16 Council Meeting.

This item is to discuss the approval or disapproval of B&H Construction, Inc. as a Qualified Contractor for the previously stated period of time.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the addition of B&H Construction, Inc. to the Listing of Qualified Contractors for the period January 2, 2002 through January 1, 2004.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, explained that the matter was held because staff had some concerns to investigate. Staff found that B&H Construction rectified reported problems in less than 90 days. Staff recommends B&H be added to the list of qualified contractors.

RAY HOFFMAN, B&H Construction, Inc., 240 E. Horizon, was present.

There was no further discussion.

(11:30 – 11:32)

2-2043

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES

DIRECTOR: DR. BARBARA P. JACKSON ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on naming a park at Redwood and West Oakey Boulevard - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Park and Recreation naming sub committee has reviewed the applications for the naming of the Redwood and Oakey park site, located at Redwood Street and West Oakey Boulevard. The sub committee recommends the park be named Firefighters Memorial Park.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends City Council approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED the name of Firefighters Memorial Park – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, Leisure Services Department, concurred with the recommendation of the Park and Recreation Naming Subcommittee to name the Redwood and Oakey park site Firefighters Memorial Park.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD remarked that this park has been a vision of this Council, and it is only fitting to honor all firefighters in Southern Nevada. As a retired police officer, he was very touched when a park on West Cheyenne was named Metro Park, after local police officers. He requested the Council's support of the recommended name.

BILL MARION, Chairman of the Las Vegas Arts Commission, stated that JOHN BANKS is the artist, a firefighter, that has been chosen to design the sculpture that will reflect the interests of the City, as well as the honor the firefighters deserve. He commended the Council members for moving forward with this project.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Leisure Services

Item 65 – Discussion and possible action on naming a park at Redwood and West Oakey Boulevard

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN confirmed with MR. MARION that MR. BANKS did the sculpture at the fire station on Washington near Rancho.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD noted that there has been wide support from the community on this project. The surrounding residents are designing the park and really like the name of Firefighters Memorial Park, especially after the attacks of 9/11/2001. After discussions held by STACY ALLSBROOK, Leisure Services, under the auspices of DR. JACKSON, the private sector became aware of the intentions of the City and offered its assistance in making the project a reality. Wal-Mart was the first business to approach the City, followed by Smith's Food and Drug Centers, Vons, and Albertson's Food and Drugs.

STEVE HENRY, Wal-Mart, stated that Wal-Mart is very grateful to be a part of this effort to recognize the men and women behind the badges that serve the community. In order to help raise funds for the memorial, Wal-Mart will be selling specially designed pins.

KENNY KIMBALL, Smith's Food and Drugs, indicated that Smith's had to get involved in this project because they believe in helping the community. He stated that Smith's would be donating \$10,000 toward the memorial and that Smith's previously donated \$4,500 to a program that brings fire safety education to elementary schools.

At the request of MAYOR GOODMAN, MR. BANKS briefly described that the sculpture itself will be about 9.5 feet tall and made of cast bronze. The completion target date is 7/4/2002. MAYOR GOODMAN noted that another celebration is programmed for that date that should bring in people from all over the country, so they will have the opportunity to see the memorial too.

CHIEF DAVID WASHINGTON, Fire and Rescue Department, was very appreciative of the cooperation of the private sector and the community toward this endeavor. He hopes that the memorial will inspire people to become firefighters.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD felt especially proud because the memorial is to honor every firefighter that has worn and wears a badge in the entire Valley.

(9:35 – 9:46)

1-1166

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding reallocation of \$1,038,662.13 of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds from various completed projects and program income to the Downtown Community Center with Progress Report of Center Status - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,038,662.13☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** CDBG**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The following projects are completed or fully funded and the amounts remaining from the initial allocations will be reprogrammed to the Downtown Community Center: CDBG Admin, \$56,569.15; Nevada Homes for Youth, \$12.22; Economic Development Loans, \$100,000; NALA-Kitchen Equipment, \$430.76; Architectural Services for CDBG Projects, \$289,650; Maryland Villas Child Care facility, \$317,000. In addition, \$275,000 of program income is being allocated.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that City Council approve the reallocation of funding.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Progress Report
2. Project Timelines
3. Letter from Asian American Ad Hoc Committee

MOTION:

GOODMAN – APPROVED the utilization of CDBG funds to put the center in working condition, directing staff to explore potential tenants to operate and maintain the facility at no or very little expense to the City – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER HOUCHENS requested direction as to whether or not to move forward with the renovation of the center and appropriate the CDBG funds for that purpose.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Neighborhood Services

Item 66 – Discussion and possible action regarding reallocation of \$1,038,662.13 of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds from various completed projects and program income to the Downtown Community Center with Progress Report of Center Status

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN REESE verified with DEPUTY CITY MANAGER HOUCHENS that a burglar alarm would be installed with CDBG funds to secure the property.

COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated he would support the completion of the physical structure, pending determination of an entity to operate and maintain the facility. He confirmed with MAYOR GOODMAN that that was the intent of his motion.

See Item 67 for related discussion.

(11:32 – 11:34)

2-2272

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action on a Professional Services Agreement with JMA Architecture Studios for Architectural and Engineering design services to rehabilitate the Downtown Community Center located at 302 South 9th Street for \$177,090 of Community Development Block Grant funding - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$177,090

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Community Development Block Grant

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

As rehabilitation of the Downtown Community Center for occupancy progressed, staff realized that in order to meet set timelines and occupy the building as expeditiously as possible, a new architect firm capable of handling larger responsibilities and faster turnaround was required. JMA Architecture Studios has demonstrated with past and current city projects that it has the staffing capabilities to meet the city requirements.

RECOMMENDATION:

City Manager recommends that the Council approve this Professional Services Agreement with JMA Architectural Studios.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER HOUCHENS requested approval.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY appreciated the comments of MAYOR GOODMAN on related Item 66. He felt that the center has to be completed because its current condition is a nuisance to the neighborhood and a danger to the nearby Senior Nutrition Center and the school. The homeless are breaking in to get out of the cold and starting fires. He feels that it is the City's responsibility to bring the property up to Code so that it can be utilized. Otherwise nobody is going to want to contract with the City for that property.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Neighborhood Services

Item 67 - Discussion and possible action on a Professional Services Agreement with JMA Architecture Studios for Architectural and Engineering design services to rehabilitate the Downtown Community Center located at 302 South 9th Street for \$177,090 of Community Development Block Grant funding

MINUTES – Continued:

See Item 66 for related discussion.

(11:34 – 11:36)

2-2381

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding the future use of property located at 101 North Decatur Boulevard (corner of Decatur and Nebraska); Property Owner - Union Oil Company of California - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The subject property currently lacks development. On December 20, 2001, a letter was sent requesting the owners to submit a written proposal that includes current plans and future plans with timelines, including completion dates as to when this project will be operating. The owners were requested to meet with staff in Councilman McDonald's office prior to the January 16 City Council meeting and to attend the January 16, 2002 City Council meeting.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff will follow the direction of the City Council

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Letter to Property Owner

2. Location Map

Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – Motion directing Neighborhood Services and City Attorney staff to expedite a resolution on this issue – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

DAVE SEMENZA, Manager, Neighborhood Response

DAN STILL, Deputy City Attorney

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Neighborhood Services

Item 68 – Discussion and possible action regarding the future use of property located at 101 North Decatur Boulevard (corner of Decatur and Nebraska); Property Owner – Union Oil Company of California

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC to explore the possibility of requiring developers in the City of Las Vegas, especially with commercial developments and particularly with big box developments, to provide a bond which would cover the cost for the City to maintain abandoned properties.

(11:36 – 11:45)

2-2478

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding the future use of property located at 3650 West Sahara Avenue (corner of Sahara and Valley View); Property Owner - Terrible Herbst Oil Company - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The subject property has been idle since 1999. On December 20, 2001, a letter was sent requesting the owners to submit a written proposal that includes current plans and future plans with timelines, including completion dates as to when this project will be operating. The owners were requested to meet with staff in Councilman McDonald's office prior to the January 16 City Council meeting and to attend the January 16, 2002 City Council meeting.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff will follow the direction of the City Council

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Letter to property owner
2. Location Map

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – Motion directing staff to keep the lines of communication with Terrible Herbst open – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services
(11:45 – 11:46)

2-2873

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding the future use of property located at 2401 West Bonanza Road (Bonanza and Rancho); Property Owner - Terrible Herbst Oil Company - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property currently lacks development. On December 20, 2001, a letter was sent requesting the owners to submit a written proposal that includes current plans and future plans with timelines, including completion dates as to when this project will be operating. The owners were requested to meet with staff in Councilman McDonald's office prior to the January 16 City Council meeting and to attend the January 16, 2002 City Council meeting.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff will follow the direction of the City Council

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Letter to property owner
2. Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Motion to follow staff's recommendation – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, it was clarified with the maker of the motion that staff would continue to work toward a resolution with Terrible Herbst Oil Company.

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

DAVE SEMENZA, Manager, Neighborhood Response

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services

(11:46 – 11:49)

2-2969

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding the future use of property located at 777 North Decatur Boulevard (southwest corner of Decatur and Washington); Property Owner - Rebel Oil Company - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property has been an area of concern for several years. It is often littered with trash and debris, and on many occasions the owners have been contacted to maintain the property. On December 20, 2001, a letter was sent requesting the owners to submit a written proposal that includes current plans and future plans with timelines, including completion dates as to when this project will be operating. The owners were requested to meet with staff in Councilman McDonald's office prior to the January 16 City Council meeting and to attend the January 16, 2002 City Council meeting.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff will follow the direction of the City Council

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Letter to property owner
2. Location Map

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – Motion directing staff to keep lines of communication with Rebel Oil open – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because his brother owns property in the adjacent area

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD disclosed that his parents own a home nearby. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC advised that abstention was not necessary because this should not have any bearing on the value of the home given the location.

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Neighborhood Services

Item 71 – Discussion and possible action regarding the future use of property located at 777 North Decatur Boulevard (southwest corner of Decatur and Washington); Property Owner – Rebel Oil Company

MINUTES – Continued:

APPEARANCES:

DAVE SEMENZA, Manager, Neighborhood Response

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

(11:49 – 11:50)

2-3085

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with the Clark County Sanitation District - Baughman & Turner, Inc. on behalf of John Jay Lee and Marilyn R. Lee, owners, (north of Spring Road, east of Sycamore Trail, APN 139-19-703-009) - County (Near Ward 2 - L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Applicant proposes to connect one parcel approximately .7 acres to the City sewer from property located in Clark County to the existing 8" line in Sycamore Trail. The Planning Department has determined the proposed use of this parcel as an office/warehouse facility does not conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement" and a "Petition for Annexation".

RECOMMENDATION:

The Department of Public Works acknowledges that sufficient capacity exists and the connection could be allowed, subject to conformance with all City Codes and Department standards and off-site improvements being installed per City standards.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, explained that these types of matters normally appear on the Consent Agenda, except when the proposed development is inconsistent with the zoning. In this instance the proposed development, the office warehouse, and the zoning are rural residential.

There was no further discussion.

(11:50 – 11:51)

2-3183

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEUMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action on the appointment of remaining members to the Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

At the City Council Meeting of August 15, 2001, 19 of the 21 members were appointed to the Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee. Councilman Larry Brown's designee was appointed September 5, 2001 and the third Community At Large representative, Mayor Goodman's designee, was subsequently abeyed until the Council Meeting of January 16, 2002, which will bring the full membership to 21. The term of appointment for this remaining member will also be until the conclusion of all Centennial activities, unless otherwise replaced by Council action due to a member's resignation or inability to continue to serve. Membership is not transferable or assignable unless noted, and City residency is not required.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Mayor and Council appoint Mayor Goodman's designee of Community At Large Representative to the Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Current Listing & Authority – Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 55 and 73 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 53 to 2/6/2002 and Item 61 to 2/20/2002 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:19 – 9:20)

1-618

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ANIMAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE – Amber Dukes - Term Expiration 2-7-2002

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This board is comprised of 5 members who serve for two-year terms with no limit to the number of terms that may be served. The term of office for Ms. Amber Dukes will be expiring February 7, 2002. Per the memo from Roger Van Oordt, Animal Control Supervisor, Detention and Enforcement, Ms. Dukes has an excellent attendance record and wishes to continue to serve.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. Options are to reappoint Ms. Dukes OR appoint a new member to fill this seat on the Animal Advisory Committee.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Memo from Roger Van Oordt, Animal Control Supervisor, Detention & Enforcement
2. Current listing and Authority-Animal Advisory Committee
3. Board Interest Form – Rhonda M. McNeal

MOTION:

BROWN – Motion to REAPPOINT Amber Dukes - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

CITY CLERK RONI RONEMUS advised that MS. DUKES expressed a desire to be reappointed.

There was no further discussion.

(11:51 – 11:52)
2-3230

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEUMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS

**YOUTH NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM (YNAPP) GRANT
REVIEW BOARD – Keen L. Ellsworth – Term Expiration 1-21-2003 (Resigned)**

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Youth Neighborhood Association Partnership Program Grant Review Board consists of 15 members, eight adults and seven youths. Members of this Board were appointed December 19, 2001 to fill the term of office from January 21, 2002 to January 21, 2003. Mr. Ellsworth was Councilman Michael Mack's designee and has regrettably resigned from this Board.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board is appointment by the City Council. As Councilman Mack's designee has resigned, it will be necessary for the Councilman to recommend an individual to replace Mr. Ellsworth. This recommendation shall be ratified by the City Council.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Mr. Ellsworth's letter of resignation.
2. Current Listing and Authority – Youth Neighborhood Association Partnership Program (YNAPP) Grant Review Board

MOTION:

MACK – Motion to APPOINT Farrow Smith (Mack's recommendation), 3720 Howard Hughes Parkway, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109- UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK expressed his appreciation toward MR. ELLSWORTH for his service.

There was no further discussion.

(11:52 – 11:53)
2-3291

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-130 – Annexation No. A-0025-00(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Azure Drive and Tenaya Way; Petitioned By: Serene Investments; Acreage: 1.46 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (R) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Azure Drive and Tenaya Way. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-130 and Location Map

MOTION

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5410 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:53 – 11:54)

2-3353

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-131 – Annexation No. A-0006-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Brooks Avenue, approximately 100 feet west of Jones Boulevard; Petitioned By: Kenneth and Kathryn Cypert; Acreage: 1.12 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Brooks Avenue, approximately 100 feet west of Jones Boulevard. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-131 and Location Map

MOTION

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5411 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:54 – 11:55)

2-3396

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-132 – Annexation No. A-0016-01(A) – Property Location: On the west side of Rio Vista Street, approximately 210 feet south of Azure Drive; Petitioned By: Nannette Prlina; Acreage: 0.52 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of Rio Vista Street, approximately 210 feet south of Azure Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-132 and Location Map

MOTION

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5412 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:55)

2-3430

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Bill No. 2001-133 – Annexation No. A-0017-01(A) – Property Location: On the west side of Quadrel Street, approximately 170 feet north of Hickam Avenue; Petitioned By: John and Lorinda Fowler; Acreage: 0.63 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of Quadrel Street, approximately 170 feet north of Hickam Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 12/22/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-133 and Location Map

MOTION

BROWN – STRIKE - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that this property was caught in the system while the Interlocal Agreement between the City and the County was being finalized; therefore, it should now be stricken.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Recommending
Item 79 – Bill No. 2001-133

MINUTES – Continued:

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC advised that the Bill dies and would have to be reintroduced if there is a desire to bring it back.

There was no further discussion.

(11:55 – 11:56)
2-3473

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-134 – Annexation No. A-0048-01(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Hickam Avenue and Juliano Road; Petitioned By: Fiona Roberts and Carl Pappalardo; Acreage: 2.58 acres; Zoned: R-E (ROI to RNP1) (County Zoning), U (DR) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Hickam Avenue and Juliano Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-134 and Location Map

MOTION

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5413 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:56)
2-3502

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-136 – Annexation No. A-0053-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Farm Road, approximately 670 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive; Petitioned By: Mark Sharp, et al.; Acreage: 5.07 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Farm Road, approximately 670 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-136 and Location Map

MOTION

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5414 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:56 – 11:57)

2-3531

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-137 – Annexation No. A-0055-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of Deer Springs Way, approximately 660 feet west of Fort Apache Road; Petitioned By: Reginald and Alfrieda Scott; Acreage: 5.05 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L-TC) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Deer Springs Way, approximately 660 feet west of Fort Apache Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-137 and Location Map

MOTION

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5415 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:57)

2-3566

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-138 – Annexation No. A-0064-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Gowan Road, approximately 660 feet east of Durango Drive; Petitioned By: A & A Mountanos 1999 Revocable Living Trust; Acreage: 2.66 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (ML) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Gowan Road, approximately 660 feet east of Durango Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-138 and Location Map

MOTION

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5416 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:57 – 11:58)

2-3607

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-141 – Adopts the Transportation Trails Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adopt the Transportation Trails Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. It will replace and supersede any corresponding element that has been carried over from the City's General Plan adopted in 1992.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill No. 2001-141 (including incorporated documents)
2. Incorporated Master Plan Transportation Trails Element

MOTION

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5417 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:58)
2-3638/3-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-142 – Adopts the Recreation Trails Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan.
Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adopt the Recreation Trails Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. It will replace and supersede any corresponding element that has been carried over from the City's General Plan adopted in 1992.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill No. 2001-142
2. Incorporated Master Plan Recreation Trails Element

MOTION

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5418 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:58 – 11:59)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-144 – Annexation No. A-0045-99(A) – Property Location: On the northeast corner of Lone Mountain Road and Valdez Street; Petitioned By: Eagle Dancer, L.L.C.; Acreage: 16.31 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northeast corner of Lone Mountain Road and Valdez Street. The annexation is at the request of the property owners' predecessor-in-interest. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

NOTE: A single family subdivision has been developed on this site.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-144 and Location Map

MOTION

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5419 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:59)

3-25

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-146 – Levies Assessment re: Special Improvement District No. 1469 – 4th Street (Washington Avenue to Adams Avenue) (\$50,771.11 – Capital Projects Fund – Special Assessments) – Ward 5 (Weekly) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$50,771.11

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund – Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb, gutter, sidewalk, driveway approach and streetlights.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/31/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/5/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-146

MOTION

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5420 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:59 – 12:00)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-83 – Establishes rules, regulations and business licensing requirements for farmers' markets. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance & Business Services

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill proposes licensing standards and regulatory provisions for farmers' markets as well as for promoters of such activities. In addition to farm product vendors, this bill permits non-farm product vendors in limited numbers and locations at a farmers' market, upon their first obtaining and thereafter maintaining a valid permit. The annual license fee for a farmers' market promoter will be two hundred dollars for a primary location and fifty dollars annually for each additional promoted farmers' market. Non-farm product vendors will be required to pay a ten dollar permit fee each month.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/6/2002 City Council meeting as a Second Amendment pursuant to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 8/15/2001; First Publication – 1/25/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-115 – Requires certain disclosures in connection with the sale of a residence or residential lot. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill would require a number of disclosures in connection with the sale of a residence or residential lot. Among the items that would have to be disclosed to the buyer are the zoning and land use classifications applicable to the property and surrounding areas, any existing or proposed gaming enterprise districts in the area, existing or proposed assessments, structural defects, and any soils reports regarding the property. Disclosures would have to be documented in writing or by videotape.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill was held in abeyance to the 2/4/2002 Recommending Committee meeting by the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/4/2002 Recommending Committee
2/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-129 - Provides for the removal and disposition of abandoned shopping carts.
Sponsored by: Councilmen Michael J. McDonald and Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: Undetermined*

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill is intended to address the problem of abandoned shopping carts more comprehensively than in the past. Cart removal by patrons will continue to be prohibited, but, in addition, the responsibility for cart retrieval will be placed on the stores that provide shopping carts. The bill will allow stores to retrieve their own carts, either upon notice or in connection with an ongoing retrieval program, but will also give the City the option of retrieving abandoned carts and charging fees and penalties relating to removal and storage. The City has sought input from the affected parties and prepared a Business Impact Statement based upon an earlier version prepared for Bill No. 2001-5.

*Potential annual costs to the City will depend on compliance, with costs to be partially offset by fees and penalties.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 1/25/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-140 – Conforms the City's campaign contribution and campaign expenditure reporting requirements to those of State law. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Municipal Code's definitions and treatment of campaign contribution and campaign expenditures have differed from those described in the Nevada Revised Statutes. This bill will amend the Code to make the definitions and reporting requirements consistent with those of State law.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/6/2002 City Council meeting as a First Amendment pursuant to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 12/19/2001; First Publication – 1/25/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-1 – Annexation No. A-0023-01(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Centennial Parkway and Fort Apache Road; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 20.25 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L) (City Equivalent); Sponsored By: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Centennial Parkway and Fort Apache Road. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/2/2002; First Publication – 1/25/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-2 – Annexation No. A-0024-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of Iron Mountain Road, approximately 1,370 feet west of Hualapai Way; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 5.38 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Iron Mountain Road, approximately 1,370 feet west of Hualapai Way. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/2/2002; First Publication – 1/25/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-3 – Annexation No. A-0025-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of Iron Mountain Road, approximately 660 feet east of the Shaumber Road alignment; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 10.69 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Iron Mountain Road, approximately 660 feet east of the Shaumber Road alignment. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/2/2002; First Publication – 1/25/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-4 – Annexation No. A-0026-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Grand Teton Road, approximately 1,000 feet west of Hualapai Way; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 99.67 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

FORMTEXT The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Grand Teton Road, approximately 1,000 feet west of Hualapai Way. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/2/2002; First Publication – 1/25/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-5 – Annexation No. A-0028-01(A) – Property Location: On the southwest corner of Grand Teton Road and Hualapai Way; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 10.56 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southwest corner of Grand Teton Road and Hualapai Way. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/2/2002; First Publication – 1/25/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-6 – Annexation No. A-0029-01(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Grand Canyon Drive and Farm Road; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 5.14 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Grand Canyon Drive and Farm Road. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/2/2002; First Publication – 1/25/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-7 – Annexation No. A-0062-01(A) – Property Location: On the southwest corner of Buffalo Drive and Alexander Road; Petitioned By: Howard John Needham, et al; Acreage: 7.90 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southwest corner of Buffalo Drive and Alexander Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/2/2002; First Publication – 1/25/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-8 – Annexation No. A-0065-01(A) – Property Location: On the west side of Campbell Road, approximately 300 feet south of Log Cabin Way; Petitioned By: Hannah Living Trust, et al; Acreage: 5.12 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of Campbell Road, approximately 300 feet south of Log Cabin Way. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (February 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/2/2002; First Publication – 1/25/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING::

Bill No. 2002-9 – Establishes a monorail master business license for monorails built in the City.
Proposed By: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance and Business Services

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

A monorail master business license will allow the operation of multiple and diverse types of businesses by a monorail owner which ordinarily would require multiple, non-regulatory licenses in addition.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/2/2002; First Publication – 1/25/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-10 – Prohibits liquor licensees from furnishing alcoholic beverages to minors.
Sponsored by: Councilman Gary Reese

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Although the City Code currently prohibits the consumption of alcohol by minors, it does not prohibit liquor licensees from furnishing alcohol to minors. This bill will add such a prohibition based upon similar language in the Clark County Code.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/2/2002; First Publication – 1/25/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-11 – Revises the zoning regulations that pertain to wireless communication facilities. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will revise the zoning regulations related to wireless communication facilities. It will encourage 1) the use of “stealth” facilities that blend in with the surroundings, and 2) the co-location of facilities. Generally, new facilities that do not qualify as “stealth” facilities will require the approval of a special use permit, subject to conditions to minimize the impact of the facilities.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill was held in abeyance to the 2/4/2002 Recommending Committee meeting by the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/4/2002 Recommending Committee

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-12 – Amends the Zoning Code and other Municipal Code provisions regarding vehicle parking and storage restrictions in residential areas. Proposed by: Sharon Segerblom, Director of Neighborhood Services

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will update the Zoning Code and other City Code regulations regarding the parking and storage of vehicles in residential areas. The bill incorporates suggestions made by enforcement staff and by individuals and groups involved in automobile collection and restoration.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/2/2002; First Publication – 1/25/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-13 – Reinstates the requirement that certain appointed City employees file a gift disclosure statement. Proposed by: Bradford R. Jerbic, City Attorney

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Earlier this year the Council adopted an ordinance to revise certain provisions of the City's Ethics Ordinance. That ordinance eliminated the requirement that certain appointed employees (Deputy Director or higher) file financial disclosure statements. This bill will reinstate the requirement for those employees to file a disclosure statement as to disclosable gifts they have received.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 1/2/2002; First Publication – 1/25/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-14 – Annexation No. A-0001-99(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Brent Lane and Jones Boulevard; Petitioned By: Prem Land, LLC, et al; Acreage: 20.46 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (DR) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Brent Lane and Jones Boulevard. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (March 1, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-14 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/4/2002 Recommending Committee

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

(12:01 – 12:02)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-15 – Annexation No. A-0034-01(A) – Property Location: On the southwest corner of Lone Mountain Road and Shaumber Road; Petitioned By: Meranto Living Trust; Acreage: 5.36 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southwest corner of Lone Mountain Road and Shaumber Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (March 1, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-15 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/4/2002 Recommending Committee

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

(12:01 – 12:02)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-16 – Annexation No. A-0044-01(A) – Property Location: On the west side of Cliff Shadows Parkway, 700 feet south of Craig Road; Petitioned By: Loraine Milano; Acreage: 5.56 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of Cliff Shadows Parkway, 700 feet south of Craig Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (March 1, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-16 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/4/2002 Recommending Committee

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

(12:01 – 12:02)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-17 – Annexation No. A-0046-01(A) – Property Location: On the southwest corner of Log Cabin Way and El Capitan Way; Petitioned By: Martin A. and Pamela D. Warburton, et al; Acreage: 29.45 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southwest corner of Log Cabin Way and El Capitan Way. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (March 1, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-17 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/4/2002 Recommending Committee

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

(12:01 – 12:02)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-18 – Annexation No. A-0060-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of Alexander Road, approximately 700 feet west of Vegas Vista Trail; Petitioned By: MB Holdings LLC; Acreage: 5.51 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Alexander Road, approximately 700 feet west of Vegas Vista Trail. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (March 1, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-18 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/4/2002 Recommending Committee

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

(12:01 – 12:02)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-19 – Annexation No. A-0067-01(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Carl Avenue and Shadow Mountain Place; Petitioned By: John R. Elliott; Acreage: 0.65 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Lawrence Weekly

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Carl Avenue and Shadow Mountain Place. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (March 1, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-19 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/4/2002 Recommending Committee

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

(12:01 – 12:02)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-20 – Annexation No. A-0073-01(A) – Property Location: On the northeast corner of Cimarron Road and Whispering Sands Drive; Petitioned By: Carina Corporation; Acreage: 10.40 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northeast corner of Cimarron Road and Whispering Sands Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (March 1, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-20 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/4/2002 Recommending Committee

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

(12:01 – 12:02)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-21 – Annexation No. A-0076-01(A) – Property Location: On the southwest corner of Lone Mountain Road and Buffalo Drive; Petitioned By: Lone Mountain/Buffalo General Partnership; Acreage: 15.69 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (DR) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southwest corner of Lone Mountain Road and Buffalo Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (March 1, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-21 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/4/2002 Recommending Committee

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

(12:01 – 12:02)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-22 – Amends the Zoning Code to allow ancillary commercial uses in connection with an assisted living apartment. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Zoning Code currently does not allow ancillary commercial uses (such as beauty salons, barbershops, retail shops, or copy centers) in connection with an assisted living apartment. This bill will allow such uses as long as they are appropriately limited in scope and operation.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-22

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/4/2002 Recommending Committee

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

(12:09 – 12:10)

3-347

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-23 – Requires a special use permit for an animal hospital, clinic or shelter without outside pens in the C-D and C-1 Zoning Districts, and allows the boarding of animals only in conjunction with the operation of an animal hospital, clinic or shelter. Sponsored by:
Councilman Michael J. McDonald

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will require a special use permit for the use “animal hospital, clinic or shelter without outside pens” in the C-D and C-1 Zoning Districts. In addition, it will allow the boarding of animals only in conjunction with the operation of an animal hospital, clinic or shelter. It is believed that the changes will better protect neighborhoods from the impacts of these uses.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-23

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/4/2002 Recommending Committee

2/6/2002 Council Agenda

(12:01 – 12:02)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

New Bills

Item No. 114 - Bill No. 2002-23

MINUTES – Continued:

THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 12:02 P.M., RECONVENED AT 12:09 P.M. TO READ BILL NO. 2002-22 UNDER NEW BILLS, RECESSED AGAIN AT 12:10 P.M. TO GO INTO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY CITIZENS PARTICIPATION, RECONVENED AGAIN AT 12:13 P.M. TO MAKE THE MOTION TO GO INTO THE CLOSED SESSION, AND WAS RECESSED AGAIN AT 12:14 P.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CLOSED SESSION - To Be Held at Conclusion of Morning Session

Upon a duly carried Motion, a closed meeting is called in accordance with NRS 288.220 to discuss the IAFF contract

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

To discuss negotiations for IAFF contract.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to go into closed meeting in accordance with NRS 288.220 to discuss the IAFF contract – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:13 – 12:14)

3-474

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and TABLE Item 123 [Z-0071-00(2), U-0145-00(1), U-0146-00(1) and U-0147-00(1)] and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 127 [Z-0016-98(21)] to 2/20/2002 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and MACK excused

MINUTES:

CHRISTOPHER GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Development Department, explained that the applicant for Item 127 [Z-0016-98(21)] requested abeyance to 2/20/2002.

BRIAN PSIODA, VTN Nevada, appearing on behalf of the applicant confirmed the request to hold Item 127 in abeyance.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD, addressing ATTORNEY J. T. MORAN III, 630 South 4th Street, representing the applicant, asked about the hours of operation pertaining to Item 123 [Z-0071-00(2), U-0145-00(1), U-0146-00(1) and U-0147-00(1)]. ATTORNEY MORAN asked that this item be held in abeyance for six months. He mentioned that two of the restaurants have opened with one other to open within the next week. ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department, confirmed that the first opening was held two months prior. ATTORNEY MORAN explained the applicant's intention is to meet with the residents and form a neighborhood action committee that will meet once a month for six months to review and discuss areas of concern with current and future development.

COUNCILMAN REESE, directing his comments to COUNCILMAN McDONALD, suggested striking this item. ATTORNEY MORAN contested, saying it would be more advantageous to hold meetings with the neighborhood rather than starting all over again with the application process that will be similar to the current request. COUNCILMAN McDONALD noted that he very well understood the applicant's position and chose to table this item.

There was no further discussion.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN handed to CITY CLERK RONEMUS two protest letters from Deborah Matula and Guy Dudley, Jr. to be made part of the record relative to Item No. 123 [Z-0071-00(2)], U-0145-00(1), U-0146-00(1) and U-0147-00(1)].

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
CITY CLERK

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: For the record, MAYOR GOODMAN announced that COUNCILMAN MACK will be excused from the day's proceedings and COUNCILMAN BROWN will be excused until 2:00 p.m.

(1:36 – 1:43)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of dangerous building located at 5821 Evergreen Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: LORRAINE M. HAYWOOD - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount: \$1,966.40
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Neighborhood Services/Response
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K. O. Construction, Inc. to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by removing all furniture, cabinets, appliances, mattresses, clothing, etc. from inside the house; cutting and removing all high, dry vegetation from all yards; removing all refuse, waste, trash, debris, etc. from all yards including front, rear, east and west side, and from the right-of-way (sidewalk area); removing the black Chevy Blazer (no plates), and the silver/burgundy Ford van from the driveway; securing all doors, windows and gates, boarding the property; and posting "No Trespassing" signs.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,966.40 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and MACK excused.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 116 – 5821 Evergreen Avenue

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. Showing a video, he declared that the subject property was corrected by removing furniture, cabinets and clothing within the house as well as cleaning the yard of debris, high vegetation and trash. Determining the property to be in violation, the Department of Neighborhood Services started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K. O. Construction to abate the problem. No trespassing signs were posted. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,966.40 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:43 – 1:45)

4-211

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of dangerous building located at 1308 Silver Lake Dr. PROPERTY OWNER: KELLY E. AND RENEE J. MAPLES - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,881.40☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K. O. Construction, Inc. to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by securing all entrances and windows in the residence including the side gates and the two sheds in the rear yard; removing all trash, litter and debris from all yards on the property including lumber, discarded furniture and concrete blocks; by cutting the high vegetation; and by posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,881.40 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 117 – 1308 Silver Lake Drive

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, showed a video of the property in question. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. Trash and debris were removed. It was declared in violation, and the Department of Neighborhood Services started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem. The property was corrected by securing all entrances and windows, including the side gates and the rear sheds. Additionally, all trash and debris were removed. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,881.40 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:45 – 1:46)

4-268

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of dangerous building located at 2401 W. Bonanza Rd. PROPERTY OWNER: HEYER LIVING TRUST
1996 - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$4,162.90☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K. O. Construction, Inc. to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by securing, boarding and cleaning the vacant building; removing all trash, debris, papers, bottles, and refuse (metal, wood, barrels, etc.); removing all dead/dry vegetation; removing all graffiti; and posting a "No Trespassing" sign on the property..

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$4,162.90 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 118 – 2401 W. Bonanza Road

MOTION:

WEEKLY – ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, showed a video of the property and stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by securing, boarding and cleaning the vacant building, removing all trash, debris, papers, bottles, refuse, and hazardous waste, as well as posting a “No Trespassing” sign. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$4,162.90 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer’s Office.

JOHN BAYER, 3463 Eva Ferez, stated that he is the landlord of the center where the subject property is located. This property was leased to the Herbst Corporation a year ago for a new car wash, convenience store and gas islands, and a permit was applied for at the time. The Herbst Corporation cleaned the property every time they received a call from the neighborhood watch group. In fact, MR. BAYER was surprised to receive the abatement notification because he and the Herbst Corporation complied with the request and cleaned the property. However, once the property is cleaned, the problem would arise again because of the migrant workers who congregate in front of this property. As a landlord, he wants to see the new car wash and convenience store built. The problem cannot be corrected until this site is developed.

MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that he is aware of this particular piece of property because he resides in the vicinity. However, he does not recall any application request for a special use permit for this particular piece of property. MR. BAYER replied that the application was submitted.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD mentioned that in discussion with MR. HERBST he was told that an application was submitted for this particular piece of property, but is being held due to the new equipment regulations. DAN STILL, Deputy City Attorney, pointed out that in anticipation of this situation he spoke with EARL RUSSELL, Plans Checks Supervisor, who indicated that the application was cleared by the plans checks, but has been help up in Land Development and

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 118 – 2401 W. Bonanza Road

MINUTES – Continued:

Fire Services since August 21, 2001. The Herbst Corporation has not submitted the civil plans, and there are a number of issues that need to be resolved regarding curb cuts, traffic, drainage, landscaping, and right-of-way. MAYOR GOODMAN suggested that MR. BAYER have the Herbst Corporation contact MR. RUSSELL to find out what needs to be done to move this project along.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, inquired on the progress of Neighborhood Services working in conjunction with non-profit organizations or lenders to rehabilitate vacant and abandoned properties. DEPUTY CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY replied that an ordinance regarding vacant and abandoned properties will be introduced at the next City Council meeting.

AL GALLEGRO, citizen of Las Vegas, stated that he noticed washing machines on the subject property and asked what happened to them. He believes that the construction company resold them. SEMENZA indicated that they were station pumps.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commended the Department of Neighborhood Services and MR. SEMENZA for the great job they are doing, particularly in the older areas of the City. In this particular case, he has spoken with MR. HERBST, who indicated that there are problems in reference to their permits and land development. Therefore, he requested that the item be held in abeyance to ascertain the status of this project and what the City can do to help move it forward.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:46 – 1:56)

4-310

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

CONSENT AGENDA

EXTENSION OF TIME

- 119 **Z-0099-93(7)** - BTS Investments, Inc.

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

REVIEW OF CONDITION

- 120 **SD-0024-01(1)** - Dallas and Ethel Mefford on behalf of Apostolic Church of Las Vegas

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW

- 121 **Z-0087-98(4)** - Spectrum Office, Limited Liability Company
122 **SD-0066-01** - Arthur & Kathy Cole

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING

- 123 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0071-00(2), U-0145-00(1), U-0146-00(1) AND U-0147-00(1)**
- Lena Piccoli-Ostunio on behalf of Outback Steakhouse, Inc.
124 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0016-96(7)** - H H F, Inc. on behalf of Nevada Homes Group, Inc.
125 **Z-0071-86(1)** - Desert Honda of Las Vegas
126 **Z-0064-96(1)** - Mark Adair
127 **Z-0016-98(21)** - Iron Mountain Ranch Alliance, Limited Liability Company on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.
128 **Z-0049-98(2)** - Shawn D. and Timmi L. Dybdahl
129 **Z-0059-00(2)** - WPI – Ann/Decatur, Limited Liability Company

MASTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING

- 130 **SV-0018-01** - The Howard Hughes Corporation

MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN STANDARDS - PUBLIC HEARING

- 131 **Z-0016-98(20)** - Charleston Auto Parts Profit Sharing Trust on behalf of William Lyon Homes

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of January 16, 2002

- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING
- 132 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0014-62(1)** - D & W, Inc. on behalf of Nextel Communications
- 133 **SD-0063-01** - D 2801 Westwood, Inc., et al
- 134 **Z-0076-86(18)** - All Unlimited Limited Partnership on behalf of Verizon Wireless
- 135 **Z-0061-93(8)** - Rainbow Corporate Center, Limited Liability Company
- MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING
- 136 **MSP-0014-01** - World Entertainment Centers, Limited Liability Company
- VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING
- 137 **VAC-0041-01** - Albert Eugene Limited Partnership
- VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
- 138 **V-0086-01** - Leroy and Nancy Wilder Trust
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0086-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 139 **Z-0051-01(1), Z-0013-00(1) and ZC-567-95(1)** - Wilder Family Trust, et al
- FIVE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 140 **U-0025-96(1)** - Michele Achiardi on behalf of Viacomm Outdoor Group
- SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 141 **U-0142-01** - Boca Park-Pad, Limited Liability Company on behalf of JJ & DH, Inc.
- 142 **U-0143-01** - Buffalo Washington, Limited Liability Company on behalf of K-Mart Corporation
- 143 **U-0144-01** - Pan Pacific Retail Properties on behalf of David Kim
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 144 **Z-0081-01** - Leroy and Jeanette Cobb
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0081-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 145 **Z-0081-01(1)** - Leroy and Jeanette Cobb
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 146 **Z-0082-01** - Hearthstone Homebuilders, Inc.
- 147 **Z-0083-01** - Babb Investment Company on behalf of Sprint PCS

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of January 16, 2002

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0083-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

148 Z-0083-01(1) - Babb Investment Company on behalf of Sprint PCS

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

149 ABEYANCE ITEM - GPA-0043-01 - Ann Durango, Limited Liability Company

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0043-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

150 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0077-01 - Ann Durango, Limited Liability Company

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO GPA-0043-01 AND Z-0077-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

151 ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0134-01 - Ann Durango, Limited Liability Company

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0043-01, Z-0077-01 AND U-0134-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

152 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0077-01(1) - Ann Durango, Limited Liability Company

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - **Z-0099-93(7) - BTS INVESTMENTS, INC.** - Request for an Extension of Time of an Approved Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) and R-PD4 (Residential Planned Development - 4 Units per Acre) TO: P-R (Professional Office and Parking) and C-1 (Limited Commercial) located adjacent to the east side of Jones Boulevard, north of Del Rey Avenue (APN's: 163-01-102-009, 013, 016, 017, 018, 023, 024, 025, 026, and 027), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

NOTE: THIS ITEM IS TO BE CONSIDERED ROUTINE IN NATURE.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and MACK excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:56)

4-615

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 119 – Z-0099-93(7)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Extension of Time of an approved Rezoning shall expire December 15, 2003.
2. Consideration of any request for an Extension of Time subsequent to this approval shall be contingent upon the submittal of an application for a Site Development Plan Review.
3. Conformance with the conditions of approval for Rezoning (Z-0099-93) and its subsequent Extensions of Time; conformance with the conditions of approval for Site Development Plan Review [Z-0099-93(6)] and all applicable site-related actions, as required by the Department of Planning and Development and the Department of Public Works.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REVIEW OF CONDITION - **SD-0024-01(1) - DALLAS AND ETHEL MEFFORD ON BEHALF OF APOSTOLIC CHURCH OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Review of Conditions of Approval # 5 and #6 on an Approved Site Development Plan Review WHICH REQUIRED THE CONSTRUCTION OF HALF STREET IMPROVEMENTS AND THE REPAIR OF SUBSTANDARD PUBLIC STREET IMPROVEMENTS ADJACENT TO LINDELL ROAD AND DOE AVENUE IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN ADDITION TO AN EXISTING CHURCH on 2.07 Acres located at 1675 Lindell Road (APN: 163-01-208-003 and 006), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting and BROWN and MACK excused

MINUTES:

STEVE STOHZLES, Apostolic Church, 1665 Lindell Road, clarified that the street improvement is only on the 100 feet in front of the social hall and not the entire church property. He concurred with Public Works' conditions about recording a covenant for the future installation of street improvements on the corner of Lindell Road and Doe Avenue.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked that funds be made available to complete the street improvements.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 120 – SD-0024-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

(1:56 – 1:59)

4-627

CONDITIONS:

Public Works

1. Condition Number 5 of SD-0024-01 shall be amended as follows: The applicant shall sign and record a Covenant Running with Land Agreement for the future installation of half-street improvements (including asphalt paving, curb, gutter, sidewalk, streetlighting and possible fire hydrants) on Lindell Road and Doe Avenue adjacent to APN #163-01-208-003.
2. Condition Number 6 of SD-0024-01 shall be deleted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - **Z-0087-98(4)** - **SPECTRUM OFFICE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 23,245 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE BUILDING located adjacent to the west side of Fire Mesa Street, approximately 400 feet north of Smoke Ranch Road (APN: 138-15-410-024), C-PB (Planned Business Park) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting and BROWN and MACK excused

MINUTES:

SCOTT GEARING, Gearing Architecture, 1700 East Desert Inn Road, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations and conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

(1:59 – 2:00

4-733

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 121 – Z-0087-98(4)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. The site plan shall be revised to depict handicapped spaces that are in conformance with the requirements of Section 19A.10.010(G).
3. The landscape plan shall be revised, prior to the issuance of any building permits, to indicate 24-inch box tree planted 20-feet on center along all roadways, and planted 30-feet on center along all interior property lines. In addition, four 5-gallon shrubs shall be planted in addition to each 24-inch box tree.
4. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed buildings. Lighting standards within the parking lots shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights.
5. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
8. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

Public Works

9. If not already constructed or guaranteed by the Master Developer, construct the full-width of the proposed driveway accessing Fire Mesa Street and appropriate on-site paving to provide for two-way vehicular traffic concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 121 – Z-0087-98(4)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

10. If not already constructed or guaranteed by the Master Developer at the time of development of this site, construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Fire Mesa Street adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this site that may be damaged during construction are to be modified and/or repaired at the applicant's expense.
11. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
12. In accordance with the intent of a commercial subdivision, this pad site shall have perpetual common access to all driveways connecting the overall site to the abutting public streets.
13. All landscaping installed with this project shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
14. An update to the previously approved Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site.
15. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits or submittal of any construction drawings whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
16. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0087-98 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - SD-0066-01 - ARTHUR & KATHY COLE -
Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 4,660 SQUARE FOOT CHURCH located at 3000 Holly Avenue (APN's: 139-20-301-013 & 014), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting and BROWN and MACK excused

MINUTES:

DEACON RONNIE GASTON, 1931 West Cartier Avenue, was present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

(2:00 – 2:01)

4-764

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 122 – SD-0066-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
3. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
4. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
5. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
6. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
7. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
8. The applicant shall have constructed a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials along the rear property line where no wall currently exists. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
9. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 122 – SD-0066-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

10. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
11. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Special Use Permit U-0122-01.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0071-00(2), U-0145-00(1), U-0146-00(1) AND U-0147-00(1) - LENA PICCOLI-OSTUNIO ON BEHALF OF OUTBACK STEAKHOUSE, INC.** - Request for a Review of Conditions of an approved Rezoning, Site Development Plan Review, and Special Use Permits WHICH REQUIRED RESTAURANT OPERATING HOUR RESTRICTIONS IN CONJUNCTION WITH A 58,683 SQUARE FOOT RETAIL SHOPPING CENTER on 8.26 Acres on the southeast corner of Charleston Boulevard and Odette Lane (APN: 163-05-502-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Mayor Goodman submitted written comments received from Deborah Matula and Guy Dudley, Jr.

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and TABLE Item 123 [Z-0071-00(2), U-0145-00(1), U-0146-00(1) and U-0147-00(1)] and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 127 [Z-0016-98(21)] to 2/20/2002 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Planning & Development Department

Item 123 – Z-0071-00(2), U-0145-00(1), u-0146-00(1) and U-0147-00(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD addressing ATTORNEY J. T. MORAN III, 630 South 4th Street, representing the applicant, asked about the hours of operation pertaining to Item 123 [Z-0071-00(2), U-0145-00(1), U-0146-00(1) and U-0147-00(1)]. ATTORNEY MORAN asked that this item be held in abeyance for six months. He mentioned that two of the restaurants have opened with one other to open within the next week. ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department, confirmed that the first opening was held two months prior. ATTORNEY MORAN explained the applicant's intention is to meet with the residents and form a neighborhood action committee that will meet once a month for six months to review and discuss areas of concern with current and future development.

COUNCILMAN REESE directing his comments to COUNCILMAN McDONALD, suggested striking this item. ATTORNEY MORAN contested, saying it would be more advantageous to hold meetings with the neighborhood rather than starting all over again with the application process that will be similar to the current request. COUNCILMAN McDONALD noted that he very well understood the applicant's position and chose to table this item.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN handed to CITY CLERK RONEMUS two protest letters from Deborah Matula and Guy Dudley, Jr. to be made part of the record relative to Item No. 123 [Z-0071-00(2)], U-0145-00(1), U-0146-00(1) and U-0147-00(1)].

(1:36 – 1:43)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0016-96(7) - H H F, INC. ON BEHALF OF NEVADA HOMES GROUP, INC.** - Request for a Review of Condition to Conditions #2, #3, #4, #6, and #8 TO ALLOW RELIEF FROM PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT CONDITIONS; Conditions #11, #12, #13, #14, #17, and #19 TO ALLOW RELIEF FROM PUBLIC WORKS CONDITIONS; and Conditions #20, #21, #22, #25, #27, #28 and #30 TO ALLOW RELIEF FROM PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT CONDITIONS of an APPROVED REZONING (Z-0016-96) of 5.23 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Gowan Road and Jensen Street (APN: 138-07-301-006), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development)] under Resolution of Intent to RPD-9 (Residential Planned Development - 9 Units per Acre), Ward 4 (Brown). Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**BROWN – APPROVED** subject to conditions and adding the following conditions:

- One week prior to sawcutting Gowan Road from this site eastward to tie into the existing telephone utility lines, coordinate the construction of the proposed asphalt patch with the Offsite Inspection and Testing Section of the Department of Public Works; such patch shall be a minimum of two (2) feet wide and shall meet the specifications of the Offsite Inspection and Testing Section.

And amending Condition No. 1 as follows:

1. A Site Development Plan Review for a single-family residential detached subdivision on the subject site shall be approved by the *Planning Commission* prior to the issuance of any grading permits for this site.

– UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and MACK excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 124 – Z-0016-96(7)

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

PAUL WAGNER, President of Nevada Homes Group, BILL HUNTER, of HMA Engineering and Survey, and CAMILLE CLUPHF, Construction Coordinator for Nevada Homes Group appeared on behalf of the applicant. MR. WAGNER explained that this item was held at the request of COUNCILMAN BROWN in order to meet with the adjacent residents to clarify various issues. He mentioned that approximately 66 residents were notified of the proposal to change from the townhouse concept to single-family residential dwellings. He pointed out the variation between 9-units per acre town homes versus 7.7 units per acre single-family residences and the beneficial factors including two-car garages and private backyards.

MR. WAGNER asked for clarification regarding the 700-foot trench required to bring in the telephone facilities to the site. Having met with Public Works, he asked for assurance that the proposed asphalt patch should be two feet wide. MR. HUNTER subsequently confirmed that relative to the setback requirements, the street will be constructed within that setback area, but the houses will be located 40 feet away from the east property line far exceeding the required 20-foot setback. CHRIS GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Development Department, shared that the purpose of the condition is to ensure that no structure is erected within 20-feet of the property line.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked Public Works to reaffirm the condition governing the patch and commended the applicant for holding this item to clear any confusion or misconceptions that the neighborhood had. COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that at a recent meeting with the residents, the majority expressed their support of this proposed project.

In response to COUNCILMAN BROWN'S query, MR. GLORE confirmed that if the Council so wishes, the Site Development Plan could be final action when it goes before the Planning Commission. Therefore, he recommended modifying Condition No. 1 to reflect this. COUNCILMAN BROWN concurred.

Addressing the elevation differential COUNCILMAN BROWN asked that the applicant work out the details with the three affected homeowners. MR. WAGNER, having recently spoken to the homeowners, commented that several amenable solutions regarding the wall, perimeter boxed trees, low lying shrubs and decorative wall were arrived at.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 124 – Z-0016-96(7)

MINUTES:

CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works, confirmed on record that the original Condition No. 12 relates to half-street improvements and because these have already been constructed, the builders/buyers will be responsible for paying that. She verified that bonding requirements will result only if modifications are made.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN, in proud fashion, explained that his brief absence was to attend the DARE graduation of his daughter, Jana.

(2:01 - 2:02/2:06 – 2:19)

4-793/4-949

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Site Development Plan Review for a single-family residential detached subdivision on the subject site shall be approved by the City Council prior to the issuance of any grading permits for this site.
2. Condition Numbers 2, 6, 8, 11, 17, 19, 20, 21, and 30 of Rezoning [Z-0016-96] shall be deleted.
3. Condition Number 3 of Rezoning [Z-0016-96] shall be amended to read:

Provide a minimum 20-foot setback between the east property line and the residential units on this site.
4. Condition Numbers 4, 12, 13, 14, 22, 27, and 28 of Rezoning [Z-0016-96] shall remain as written.
5. Condition Number 25 shall be replaced with the following:

Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 124 – Z-0016-96(7)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. Conformance to all applicable conditions of approval, not amended by this review, of Rezoning (Z-0016-96) and Rezoning Extensions of Time [Z-0016-96(1) and Z-0016-96(3)], and all other subsequent site related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0071-86(1) - DESERT HONDA OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Review of Condition #7 of an Approved Rezoning Application (Z-0071-86) WHICH PROHIBITED A PUBLIC ADDRESS SYSTEM IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN AUTOMOBILE DEALERSHIP located at 1700 East Sahara Avenue (APN's: 162-02-801-001 and 002), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

7

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – DENIED – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and BROWN and MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, was critical of the proposed public address system stating that the feedback can be heard from blocks away. COUNCILMAN REESE agreed with MR. FARLOW and felt that since the applicant was not present, there appeared to be a lack of concern and therefore moved to deny this application.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:02 – 2:04)

4-828

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 125 – Z-0071-86(1)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The outdoor public address system shall be reviewed in one year to determine it's continued appropriateness.
2. Conformance to all applicable conditions of approval, not amended by this review, of Rezoning (Z-0071-86) and Site Development Plan Review (Z-0071-86) and all other subsequent site related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0064-96(1) - MARK ADAIR** - Request for a Review of Condition TO ALLOW A PROPOSED SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING WITH A REAR SETBACK OF 22.95 FEET WHERE 30 FEET IS THE MINIMUM SETBACK REQUIRED at 1401 Marbella Ridge Court (APN: 163 03-213-007), R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units per Acre) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and BROWN AND MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID TURNER, 1210 Hinson Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendation. MARK ADAIR, the applicant, was also present to answer questions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:04 – 2:05)

4-883

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 126 – Z-0064-96(1)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Condition 4 (Z-0064-96) shall be amended as follows: Setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 40 feet in the front yard measured from the centerline of the private street, 30 feet in the rear yard, and a total side yard setback of 18 feet with no side less than 8 feet, excepting Lot 7, where the rear yard setback shall be 22.95 feet.
2. Site Development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval of Zoning Reclassifications Z-0064-96, Tentative and Final Maps (TM-0018-98 and FM-0037-98) for Aurora View Estates and all other subsequent site-related actions as required by the Department of Public Works and the Development of Planning and Department.
3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0016-98(21) - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH ALLIANCE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Review of Condition Number 6 of an Approved Site Development Plan Review [Z-0016-98(13)] TO ALLOW 18-FOOT FRONT YARD SETBACKS (TO GARAGE) AND 14-FOOT FRONT YARD SETBACKS (TO HOUSE) WHERE 18-FOOT FRONT YARD SETBACKS ARE THE MINIMUM REQUIRED on 40 acres located on the northwest corner of Horse Drive and Bradley Road (APN: 125-12-202-002), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and TABLE Item 123 [Z-0071-00(2), U-0145-00(1), U-0146-00(1) and U-0147-00(1)] and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 127 [Z-0016-98(21)] to 2/20/2002 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN and MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRISTOPHER GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Development Department, explained that the applicant for Item 127 [Z-0016-98(21)] requested abeyance to 2/20/2002.

BRIAN PSIODA, VTN Nevada, on behalf of the applicant, confirmed the request to hold Item 127 in abeyance.

(1:36 – 1:43)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0049-98(2) - SHAWN D. AND TIMMI L. DYBDAHL** - Request for a Review of Condition TO ALLOW A REAR SETBACK OF 12.5 FEET WHERE 20 FEET IS THE MINIMUM SETBACK ALLOWED IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED CARPORT AND STORAGE UNIT located at 7464 Grassy Field Court (APN: 125-15-210-070), R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units per Acre) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-3 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-3 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RON HUBFELD, Lone Wolf Construction, 3172 North Rainbow Boulevard, represented the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 128– Z-0049-98(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:05 – 2:06)
4-916

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. All development shall be in conformance with the submitted site plan, and all previous conditions of approval of Rezoning (Z-0049-98), Tentative and Final Maps (TM-0053-98 and FM-0014-99), and all other site-related actions applicable to Tenaya Farms Unit 1.
2. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0059-00(2) - WPI - ANN/DECATUR, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Review of Condition #1 of an Approved Site Development Plan Review [Z-0059-00(1)] WHICH REQUIRED A MINIMUM SETBACK OF 64.5 FEET FROM BOTH THE NORTH AND WEST PROPERTY LINES TO BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE RESIDENTIAL ADJACENCY STANDARDS located adjacent to the northwest corner of Ann Road and Decatur Boulevard (APN: 125-25-801-014), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:19 – 2:20)

4-1448

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 129 – Z-0059-00(1)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Condition Number 1 of Site Development Plan Review [Z-0059-00(1)] shall be amended to read:

The site plan shall be in compliance with the Residential Adjacency Standards as set forth in Section 19A.08.060 of the Las Vegas Zoning Ordinance (Title 19A).

2. Conformance to all applicable conditions of approval, not amended by this review, of General Plan Amendment (GPA-0018-01), Rezoning (Z-0059-00) Site Development Plan Review [Z-0059-00(1)], and all other subsequent site related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

MASTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **SV-0018-01 - THE HOWARD HUGHES CORPORATION** - Request for a Master Development Plan Review to establish Land Use designations within Summerlin Villages 23A and 23B, comprising 856 Acres located adjacent to the northwest corner of Charleston Boulevard and Desert Foothills Drive (APN's: 137-22-000-003 and 137-34-000-001), Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and MACK excused

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD disclosed that she resides in the Village east of this particular site, but this action will not impact her household in any way.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JERRY ROBBINS, Howard Hughes Corporation, 10000 West Charleston Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 130 – SV-0018-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:20 – 2:22)
4-1485

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. All development shall conform to the revised Summerlin Development Standards.

Public Works

2. Prior to or concurrent with development of each parcel/village, appropriate right-of-way dedications, street improvements, drainage plan/study submittals, drainage improvements, sanitary sewer collection system extensions and traffic impact analyses including traffic mitigation plans along with signal participation schedules and pedestrian circulation plans may be required by the Department of Public Works. Comply with such requirements when imposed and/or when compliance is indicated.
3. Provide a minimum of two lanes of paved legal access from an existing paved public street to each individual development area prior to occupancy of any buildings within each development area.
4. A Master Sewer Plan for the overall 861.5 acres must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any site grading or building permits or the recordation of a Master Final Map, whichever may occur first. Additionally, specific village and/or site sewer plan/studies will be required with each individual pod or phase of development activity based upon sewer capacities at the time of development. The existing sewer lines anticipated to service this development will not support the proposed densities.
5. An Update to the previous Summerlin Flood Control Master Plan must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any site grading or building permits or the recordation of a Master Final Map, whichever may occur first. Additionally, specific village and/or site drainage plan/studies will be required with each individual pod or phase of development activity.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 130 – SV-0018-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. A Traffic Impact Analysis for this overall site must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of any maps dedicating public right-of-ways and/or subdividing any village site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall include sections addressing impacts to the proposed roadway network based on the maximum densities, proposed street widths, proposed traffic signal locations, proposed multi-use trail corridors and a master pedestrian circulation plan including pedestrian/school crosswalks. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall include a section specifically addressing the subdivisions with single-point entries and provide an Access Analysis for those sites. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #201.1, #234.1 and #234.2 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to each village, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. The Master Developer shall be responsible for its proportionate share of all related signal systems with construction and funding details to be contained in a written agreement to be developed within the Traffic Impact Analysis and acceptable to the Director of Public Works prior to or concurrent with the approval of the Traffic Impact Analysis. The pedestrian circulation plan section shall identify the location, width and funding sources of all proposed pedestrian crossing signals (if any) and how each village's pedestrian circulation plan integrates with adjoining development areas. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
7. All landscaping within public rights-of-way or common areas shall be maintained by the Master Developer or his designee. Encroachment Agreement approval shall be obtained from the City Council prior to installation of any private improvements within public rights-of-way. All installed landscaping, whether in public rights-of-way or within common area properties, shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and all adjacent, abutting street intersections.
8. Provide appropriate easements for all public facilities (sewer, drainage, sidewalk, traffic signal, street lighting, etc) when and where needed.
9. The developer shall submit an application to Amend the current Master Plan of Streets and Highways, as necessary, to allow the proposed development plan submitted with this rezoning application. The application to amend the Master Plan should be submitted following approval of the Master Traffic Impact Analysis.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 130 – SV-0018-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

10. The approval of all Public Works related improvements shown on this rezoning map is in concept only. Specific design and construction details relating to size, type and/or alignment of public improvements, including but not limited to street, sewer and drainage improvements, shall be resolved prior to approval of the construction plans by the City. All deviations from adopted Summerlin and/or City Standards must receive approval from the City Planning Commission or the City Engineer prior to the recordation of a Final Map or the approval of subdivision-related construction plans, whichever may occur first. We reserve the right to impose additional conditions of approval for each individual development site when such plans are known. We anticipate the need for additional conditions concurrent with approval of the Master Tentative Map(s) and/or Village Map(s) for this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH MASTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN STANDARDS - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0016-98(20) - CHARLESTON AUTO PARTS PROFIT SHARING TRUST ON BEHALF OF WILLIAM LYON HOMES -

Request for a Major Modification to the Iron Mountain Ranch Master Development Plan Development Standards to allow a gated residential subdivision adjacent to the northeast corner of Grand Teton Drive and Jones Boulevard (APN: 125-12-401-001), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:22 – 2:23)

4-1531

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 131 – Z-0016-98(20)

CONDITIONS:

1. Delete reference to equestrian facility in section 4.4.2 and Section 7.7 and replace with the requirement that any park facility proposed shall require a Site Development Plan to be heard at a public hearing.
2. Delete Sections 8.6 and 8.7 in their entirety.
3. Delete Section 4.4.5, Section 6.2.1, Section 6.2.3, Section 7.1, Section 7.6.4 for the development approved under Z-0025-01 and Z-0025-01(1) only.
4. Delete reference to the Master Developer in Sections 7.9.4 and 7.9.5. However, the review should be by Planning Staff only.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING -
Z-0014-62(1) - D & W, INC. ON BEHALF OF NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS -

Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR THE CO-LOCATION OF ANTENNA
ARRAYS ON AN EXISTING 60 FOOT TALL WIRELESS COMMUNICATION
MONOPOLE TOWER on property located at 232 North Jones Boulevard (APN: 138-25-404-
001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL.
The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL,
subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

**M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not
voting and MACK excused**

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

LORRAINE ANDERSON appeared on behalf of the applicant and stated that the pole will have
stealth antennas. In response to MAYOR GOODMAN's query, MS. ANDERSON stated that
she participates in the selection of the proper location for these monopoles; however, she was
not involved with the selection process of this particular item. MAYOR GOODMAN pointed
out the City is looking for ways to generate income, and it is important that cell tower companies
search for City-owned property where the City can benefit from it, rather than going on private
property. He asked MS. ANDERSON to relay that message to her company. MS. ANDERSON
indicated that this is one of her company's priorities.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 132 – Z-0014-62(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, asked that all cell tower applications be held in abeyance until Bill 2001-11 has been ratified. He believes that the proposed bill will take away power from the City Council as it relates to cell towers. He also mentioned that cell towers in the Smith's shopping center on Jones Boulevard are placed one on top of another, and the residents do not have a say on the matter. COUNCILMAN REESE disagreed with MR. GALLEG0 stating that three months ago after hearing the residents' concerns regarding the cell tower to be located at the Charleston Plaza Mall, staff worked closely with Verizon Wireless and the cell tower was relocated. The City Council does listen to the residents' concerns.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD clarified that the proposed bill will give the Council the opportunity to bring forward applications that might raise concerns for the residents. Currently, several of these applications are administratively approved.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that notifications are sent to apartment buildings but not to the individual owner or renter. Everyone should be notified.

NEFFI GARCIA, 750 East Warm Springs, indicated that he works for Nextel and his responsibility is to find and lease properties on which cell towers may be located. He understands the Mayor's request and will relate it to his company.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:23 – 2:30)

4-1561

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to the revised elevations submitted at the November 1, 2001 Planning Commission meeting.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 132 – Z-0014-62(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
5. The communications monopole and its associated equipment and facility shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the communications monopole and its associated equipment and facility.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **SD-0063-01 - D 2801 WESTWOOD, INC., ET AL** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction in the amount of required perimeter landscaping FOR A PROPOSED 24,093 SQUARE FOOT SEXUALLY ORIENTED BUSINESS (THE BOARD ROOM) on property located at 2801 Westwood Drive (APN's: 162-08-604-001, 162-09-102-003 and 162-09-102-004), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="1"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Shared Parking Agreement, maps, letter from Hassan Davari, and a letter from Deputy City Attorney Bryan Scott

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining and MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant. At the time of the initial approval the City Council approved off-site parking. Even though it was approved, the applicant understood that it was not the best solution; therefore he acquired the adjacent property in order to have direct access to the parking. This parcel more than satisfies the code requirement. Since there is a property line that runs between the two parcels, a shared parking agreement is still required. ATTORNEY FIORENTINO submitted information to support Planning staff's findings under the City Code, including the shared parking agreement and a letter from DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT, which states he reviewed the agreement and found it acceptable.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 133 – SD-0063-01

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked the reason for the request of the landscape reduction. ATTORNEY FIORENTINO replied that there is a minor reduction along the freeway, not in the density, but in depth of the landscaping so as not to make any substantial changes to the parking. ATTORNEY FIORENTINO agreed to the conditions as recommended by staff requiring the intensity of the landscaping with a depth of eight-feet wide.

MAYOR GOODMAN commented that ATTORNEY FIORENTINO presented a beautiful plan, but emphasized that if there is one provable violation similar to that which was taking place in Texas, the building will revert to the City. ATTORNEY FIORENTINO affirmed that and added that COUNCILMAN REESE had raised the same concern. The DEVARIS' understand the nature of the promise they made, and this can be seen by the investment they have made to this point.

COUNCILMAN REESE verified with CHRIS GLORE, Planning & Development Department, that the amended condition is no longer required because the applicant agreed to the condition as it currently exists in the staff report.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:30 – 2:36)
4-1855

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. The landscape plan shall be revised, prior to the issuance of any building permits, to depict an eight foot wide landscape planter along the north, south and west property lines with 24-inch box trees planted 30 feet on center along the north and south property lines and 20 feet on center along the west property line. In addition, four 5-gallon shrubs shall be planted for each 24-inch box tree.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 133 – SD-0063-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. The elevations shall be revised, prior to the issuance of any building permits, to reduce the number of sculptures and weather vanes on the building to reduce visual clutter.
4. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed buildings. Lighting standards within the parking lots shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights.
5. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
8. A Master Sign Plan shall be submitted for approval of Planning Commission and City Council prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for any building on the site.
9. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
11. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
12. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

Public Works

13. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 133 – SD-0063-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

14. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
15. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0076-86(18) - ALL UNLIMITED LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF VERIZON WIRELESS -
Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 45 FOOT TALL WIRELESS COMMUNICATION MONOPOLE at 9301 West Sahara Avenue (APN: 163-07-501-005), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

21

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JULIE BROOKS, Spectrum Surveying & Engineering, 7351 West Charleston Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and stated that the 45-foot tower is designed to appear as a flagpole. She mentioned that she is responsible for finding City properties on which to co-locate cell towers.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:30 – 2:36)

4-1855

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 134 – Z-0076-86(18)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations.
3. The communications monopole and its associated equipment and facility shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the communications monopole and its associated equipment.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0061-93(8) -
RAINBOW CORPORATE CENTER, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 4,556 SQUARE-FOOT COMMERCIAL BUILDING on 6.44 Acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Rainbow Boulevard and Washington Avenue (APN: 138-27-701-004), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation], under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DENNIS BONDS, Gerald Garapich Architects, 10 Commerce Center Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations and conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:37 – 2:38)

4-2162

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 135 – Z-0061-93(8)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
3. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).
4. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
5. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
6. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.
7. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

Public Works

8. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
9. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
10. An update to the previously approved Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 135 – Z-0061-93(8)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

11. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - -- - **WORLD ENTERTAINMENT CENTERS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Master Sign Plan FOR NEONOPOLIS at 450 Fremont Street (APN: 139-34-513-003), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JOYCE STORM, World Entertainment Centers, 116B North Third Street, stated that the proposed signage complies with the Sub-district Downtown Casino Overlay District. Both the exterior and interior of the building will have a combination of the antique signs. In fact, there will be over three miles of neon activated and illuminated signs on the exterior of the building, including signage that will showcase the individual tenants. Off-premise advertising will also be incorporated as a combination of static wall-mounted boards of Light Emitting Diodes (LED) activated, animated signage and tri-vision. The proposed signage will create an enhancement to the building and to that block creating a vibrant ever-changing façade.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 136 – MSP-0014-01

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked MS. STORM what type of pixels the LED signs will have, because the closer the pixel the clearer the picture will be. MS. STORM replied that she did not know but would find out. She did add that that type of technology is like the Trinitron found in Times Square, and it is very expensive. The one that is most commonly used and most consistent within the industry is similar to the one at the New York New York Casino.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked when Neonopolis is anticipated to open. MS. STORM replied that Neonopolis will have an assortment of tenants, including the Crowne Theaters component with a 14-screen state of the art stadium seating movie theater. The opening is anticipated for April, in conjunction with the new major movie releases, such as Star Wars and Spiderman. There will be a variety of restaurants, from full service to a combination of food court participants. A very exciting concept will be Jillians, a 30,000 square foot entertainment center, with bowling, restaurants, and games. MS. STORM thanked staff and everyone involved for the amount of time and effort they have contributed on this project. This project venture will enhance and create more excitement for the entire Downtown.

MAYOR GOODMAN commented that Downtown will truly be exciting once Neonopolis opens and the proposed arena is complete. These facilities will also benefit the Fremont Street Experience.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that he is truly excited about this project. He commended staff, as well as the Planning Commission, for ensuring this would be a great product.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:38 – 2:48)

4-2209

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 136 – MSP-0014-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The aesthetics of all proposed signs shall be reviewed by the design review committee.

Public Works

2. Submit an Encroachment Agreement and/or Occupancy Permit for all private improvements (signs) located in the Ogden Avenue, Las Vegas Boulevard and 4th Street public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0041-01 - ALBERT EUGENE LIMITED

PARTNERSHIP - Petition to vacate a portion of Garces Avenue between 9th Street and 10th Street, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend

APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JOHN KIDD, Albert Eugene Limited Partnership, 9528 Scenic Sunset Drive, presented the proposed plan and stated that access would be from Garces Avenue. The 10 feet in the back creates two more spaces and allows entrance from the alley. This opens up the two spaces that the City would lose on the street. He accepted staff's conditions.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked the applicant for working with staff and for his patience.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:48 – 2:50)

4-2665

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 137 – VAC-0041-01

CONDITIONS:

1. This Vacation Application shall be modified to vacate only the south 10 feet of Garces Avenue between 9th Street and 10th Street.
2. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
3. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
4. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.
5. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #2 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
6. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0086-01 - LEROY AND NANCY WILDER TRUST - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW ZERO FEET SIDE AND REAR YARD SETBACKS WHERE TEN FEET IS THE MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK REQUIRED AND 20 FEET IS THE MINIMUM REAR YARD SETBACK REQUIRED on 3.03 acres generally located adjacent to the north side of Roberta Lane approximately 270 feet west of Decatur Boulevard (APN: 138-13-701-045 and 050), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID TURNER, Baughman & Turner, Inc., 1210 Hinson Street, appeared together with STEVE ALLEN on behalf of the applicant. MR. TURNER stated that this property was originally zoned in the County and the site plan was approved in the County. It was later annexed into the City. It is the owner's and architect's understanding that Planning staff would honor this site plan. The owner has a parcel map recorded in the City creating this lot based on that site plan. In addition, it would not be good planning sense to have a block wall and 10 feet of landscaping in the rear of the building. This would create a trash collector and become a haven for undesirables. Additionally, the applicant received a letter from the property owner to the northwest who was concerned that this would be a safety issue for her.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 138 – V-0086-01

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, verified with MR. TURNER that the zero foot setbacks are along the west and north property line.

HILLEVI DAVIS-DIEFENBACH appeared on behalf of her parents, who reside at 5005 Roberta Lane. She stated that at the Planning Commission meeting she requested that 24-inch box trees 20-feet on center and a decorative block wall be provided. Additionally, she felt that the condition requiring half-street improvements along Roberta Lane was not the best thing for that street. It is a dead end street and there is no foot traffic. She indicated that she discussed with MR. ALLEN the possibility of amending the condition requiring street improvements stating that there would be no sidewalks, except for a two-way paved traffic lane with not too intense streetlights. MR. TURNER replied that he would not object to such a request. MAYOR GOODMAN asked staff if that would be appropriate. However, CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works Department, recommended that the condition remain as stated in the staff report. There was a project that was approved recently to the west of this site on Madre Mesa South that has 2,000 linear feet of frontage. They were required to do their full half street improvements. In order to be consistent, she recommended that this project do so as well.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY pointed out that the improvements would create a sidewalk so that people would not have to walk in the dirt. MS. DAVIS-DIEFENBACH reiterated that the residents on Roberta Lane do not want sidewalks because there is no foot traffic. With regard to Madre Mesa South, there is only 300 feet that runs along Roberta Lane before it dead ends. There is an emergency access with crash gates on Roberta Lane.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked MS. EDELMAN what kind of precedent might be set if the applicant were allowed to deviate from the condition as mentioned by MS. DAVIS-DIEFENBACH. MS. EDELMAN replied that it might not set a precedent, but it would be inconsistent. The previous applicant could possibly come back with a review of condition to waive those requirements on his site. In light of MS. EDELMAN's comments COUNCILMAN WEEKLY determined that he would like the condition to remain.

MR. TURNER questioned whether it would be possible to bring back this item along with the adjacent property owner for a waiver of that particular condition. DEPUTY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN replied in the affirmative, adding that this item could be approved with the condition as presented and once the other applicant has been contacted for review of his condition, the two items could be resolved at the same time. MS. EDELMAN recommended keeping Condition #15 under Item 139 [Z-0051-01(1), Z-0013-00(1) and ZC-567-95(1)] until such time as the review of condition for both property owners come back and it could be reviewed at the same time.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 138 – V-0086-01

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 138 [V-0086-01] and Item 139 [Z-0051-01(1), Z-0013-00(1) and ZC-567-95(1)] was held under Item 138 [V-0086-01].

(2:50 – 2:59)

4-2750

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Variance shall expire in two years, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Special Use Permit (U-0031-01) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0051-01(1)].

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0086-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0051-01(1), Z-0013-00(1) and ZC-567-95(1) - WILDER FAMILY TRUST, ET AL** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 118-UNIT MULTI FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AND 59,180 SQUARE FOOT MINI-STORAGE FACILITY on approximately 7.53 acres south of Madre Mesa Drive, approximately 650 feet west of Decatur Boulevard (APN: 138-13-701-024, 025, 045, 046, 050, and 052), U (Undeveloped) Zone [R (Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to R-3 (Medium Density Residential); U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial); and U (Undeveloped) Zone [R (Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: R-3 Medium Density Residential], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID TURNER, Baughman & Turner, Inc., 1210 Hinson Street, appeared together with STEVE ALLEN on behalf of the applicant.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 139 – Z-0051-01(1), Z-0013-00(1) & ZC-567-95(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 138 [V-0086-01] and Item 139 [Z-0051-01(1), Z-0013-00(1) and ZC-567-95(1)] was held under Item 138 [V-0086-01].

(2:50 – 2:59)

4-2750

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Submittal of a revised site plan for the apartments, for staff review prior to the issuance of any building permits, depicting the required handicap parking spaces with proper access aisles.
3. Submittal of a revised site plan for the apartments, for staff review prior to the issuance of any building permits, depicting a recreational amenity in the landscaped area adjacent to the proposed office.
4. Submittal of a revised site plan for the mini-warehouses, for staff review prior to the issuance of any building permits, depicting the required setbacks or obtain approval of a Variance for setback reductions by the Planning Commission.
5. Submittal of a revised site plan for the mini-warehouses, for staff review prior to the issuance of any building permits, depicting the required amount of parking spaces or obtain approval of a Variance for parking reductions by the City Council.
6. Submittal of a revised landscape plan for the mini-warehouses, for staff review prior to the issuance of any building permits, depicting the required perimeter landscape planters with 24-inch box Mondale Pines spaced 20 feet on center or obtain approval of a Variance for setback reductions by the Planning Commission.
7. Submittal of a revised landscape plan for the apartments, for staff review prior to the issuance of any building permits, depicting the required landscape planter fingers or an acceptable alternative.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 139 – Z-0051-01(1), Z-0013-00(1) & ZC-567-95(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. The access onto Roberta Drive shall be a crash gate only.
9. Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning Z-0051-01, Variance (V-0086-01) and any other site-related actions.
10. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
11. All wall air-conditioning units shall be screened from public view.
12. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building.
13. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
14. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).

Public Works

15. Construct half-street improvements on Roberta Lane adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.
16. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout, and possible relocation or restricted access for the proposed eastern most driveway onto Madre Mesa Drive prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways and gated entries shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
17. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall address the proposed circulation of traffic through the parking structure and shall address potential gated security areas, if proposed. The City shall determine traffic signal contribution requirements based upon information provided

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002

Planning & Development Department

Item 139 – Z-0051-01(1), Z-0013-00(1) & ZC-567-95(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 and #234.2 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

18. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer.
19. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-51-01 (on this same agenda) and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

FIVE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0025-96(1) - MICHELE ACHIARDI ON BEHALF OF VIACOMM OUTDOOR GROUP - Appeal filed by The Skancke Company on behalf of Viacom Outdoor from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a Required Five Year Review of an Approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A DOUBLE-FACED 14-FOOT BY 24-FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN adjacent to the west side of Rancho Drive, south of Alexander Road (APN: 138-02-803-001), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (3-3-1 vote on a motion for approval) ended in a tie vote which is tantamount to DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (3-3-1 vote) on a motion for approval ended in a tie vote which is tantamount to DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RYAN ARNOLD, The Tom Skancke Company, 3225-B South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions. MICHELLE ACHIARDI, the owner of the property, was also present.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 140 – U-0025-96(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:59 – 3:01)

4-3247

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in one (1) year at which time the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed;
OR
2. The off-premise advertising sign (billboard) supporting structure shall be redesigned to finish materials to complement the existing on-site building. The entire face-area of both sides of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign shall be signage area or its border framework; none of the supporting structure shall be visible aside from the support pole. This Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two (2) years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
3. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
4. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0142-01 - BOCA PARK-PAD, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF JJ & DH, INC. - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A RESTAURANT SERVICE BAR IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED SUSHI RESTAURANT (HANABI SUSHI) at 1000 South Rampart Boulevard, Suite 16 (APN: 138-32-412-018), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JAMES GRINDSTAFF, Perlman Architects, 2230 Corporate Circle, #200, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:01 – 3:02)

4-3330

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 141 – U-0142-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year of this approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
3. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 (Liquor Control) of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code which states that a restaurant service bar license authorizes alcoholic beverages to be sold for consumption only in connection with meals served at tables on the premises of the restaurant where the same are sold.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

5. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for the Peccole Ranch Town Center (also known as Boca Park), Zoning Reclassification Z-0030-92 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0143-01 - BUFFALO WASHINGTON, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF K-MART CORPORATION - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE SALE OF PACKAGED LIQUOR FOR OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN EXISTING RETAIL STORE (BIG K-MART) located at 7501 West Washington Avenue (APN's: 138-27-312-005, 138-27-301-013 and 014), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, Kummer, Keampfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:02 – 3:04)

4-3425

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 142 – U-0143-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year of this approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
3. The sale of individual containers of any size of beer, wine coolers or screw cap wine is prohibited. All such products shall remain in their original configurations as shipped by the manufacturer. Further, no repackaging of containers into groups smaller than the original shipping container size shall be permitted.
4. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 (Liquor Control) of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0144-01 - PAN PACIFIC RETAIL PROPERTIES ON BEHALF OF DAVID KIM** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED RESTAURANT SERVICE BAR IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN EXISTING SUSHI RESTAURANT (ARIGATO SUSHI) at 2351 North Rainbow Boulevard, Suite #103 (APN: 138-22-503-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant, DAVID KIM, 451 Crestdale Lane, #73, concurred with staff's recommendations and conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:04 – 3:05)

4-3540

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 143 – U-0144-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year of this approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
3. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 (Liquor Control) of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code which states that a restaurant service bar license authorizes alcoholic beverages to be sold for consumption only in connection with meals served at tables on the premises of the restaurant where the same are sold.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0081-01 - LEROY AND JEANETTE COBB -
 Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, Under Resolution of
 Intent TO: P-R (Professional Office and Parking), TO: C-D (Designed Commercial) on 0.25
 Acres at 1129 South Maryland Parkway (APN: 162-02-115-001), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning
 Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY and BROWN not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The item was trailed to give the applicants time to arrive, as COUNCILMAN REESE wanted to question the reason they requested rezoning. CHRIS GLORE, Planning & Development Department, informed the Council that the applicants were on their way.

The applicants, LEROY and JEANETTE COBB were present.

MR. COBB explained that the request for the office designation is to convert the structure to an office for a maid service business. In addition there would also be laundry service and the storing of cleaning supplies storage. In response to COUNCILMAN REESE's query regarding reducing the minimum perimeter landscaping, MR. COBB indicated that there is not a full eight feet to landscape. Therefore, only five feet would be provided. MR. COBB concurred with staff's conditions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 144 – Z-0081-01

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, suggested that instead of converting these small homes into offices, they could be refurbished into viable residential properties. There is a great need for smaller homes; especially for senior citizens who do not want to live in apartments or condominiums. COUNCILMAN REESE disputed that on this particular property it would be difficult backing out of the driveway onto Maryland Parkway. It is very hard to sell a property at this location for that reason. MR. FARLOW answered that there is access to an alley. COUNCILMAN REESE indicated that there is a similar situation on Lamb Boulevard between Charleston Boulevard and Stewart Avenue, where people cannot get out of their driveways, and the best possible solution is to turn these homes into offices. He assured MR. FARLOW that everything possible will be done not to impact the residents east of Maryland Parkway. This particular use is appropriate for this location.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 144 [Z-0081-01] and Item 145 [Z-0081-01(1)] was held under Item 144 [Z-0081-01].

(3:05 – 3:06/3:27 – 3:40)

4-3591/5-480

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 144 – Z-0081-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

4. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site. Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: **ROBERT S. GENZER** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0081-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0081-01(1) - LEROY AND JEANETTE COBB** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and Reduction in the amount of required of perimeter and parking lot landscaping FOR A PROPOSED 832 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE BUILDING on 0.25 Acres at 1129 South Maryland Parkway (APN: 162-02-115-001), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone under Resolution of Intent to P-R (Professional Office and Parking), PROPOSED: C-D (Designed Commercial), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicants, LEROY and JEANETTE COBB were present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 145 – Z-0081-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 144 [Z-0081-01] and Item 145 [Z-0081-01(1)] was held under Item 144 [Z-0081-01].

(3:05 – 3:06/3:27 – 3:40)

4-3591/5-480

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning [Z-0081-01] to a C-D (Designed Commercial Zoning District).
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
5. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
7. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
8. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 145 – Z-0081-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. The applicant shall have constructed a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, along the side and rear property lines as indicated by the submitted site plan where no wall currently exists. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
11. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

12. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. All new driveways or modifications to existing driveways shall be designed, located and constructed to meet the approval of the Traffic Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0082-01 - HEARTHSTONE HOMEBUILDERS, INC.** - Request for Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone, [ML (Medium Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: R-CL (Single Family Compact-Lot) Zone of approximately 2.5 Acres located adjacent to the south side of Gowan Road, approximately 340 feet east of Durango Drive (APN: 138-09-301-002), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and MACK excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN disclosed that his Council liaison, DARCY HAYES, is a homeowner association board member in the neighborhood of El Capitan Ranch located northwest of this application. He verified with DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT that it would be appropriate for him to vote since he did not have any deliberation with MS. HAYES regarding this matter.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 146 – Z-0082-01

MINUTES – Continued:

RICHARD PRIESING, Hearthstone Homebuilders, Inc., 6320 McLeod Drive, stated that the parcel meets the requirement for R-CL because of the R-CL zoning to the north. A tentative map has already been zoned R-CL and the parcel was approved for annexation this morning. Once this parcel is annexed, the intention is to join the parcels together and make one project, as suggested by COUNCILMAN BROWN. They intend to install 24-inch box Mondale Pines for those homes on the side of Gowan Road. The name of the project is Pine Cove and a decorative wall will be used where the lots back onto Gowan Road to mitigate the lack of landscaping as much as possible.

TODD FARLOW, 249 North 19th Street, asked what the amount of footage is of a compact lot. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning & Development Department, replied that the R-CL requires a minimum square footage of 3500 square feet for infill projects. MR. PRIESING commented that the zoning surrounding this property is R-CL and up to R-PD12. Therefore, the proposed density is equal or greater and exceeds the minimum lot sizes by 500 to 1500 square feet.

COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that this is a great example of an infill project and applauded MR. PRIESING for coming into this area and developing this site and increasing the lots abutting the existing homes. The price of the homes will be compatible and higher than some of others selling in the area.

MR. GENZER emphasized for the record that based on what was presented today, this project would require a Site Development Plan Review, assuming that that will take place when the additional parcels come in, because the parcel and plan presented today have no access. It is located in the middle of all the other parcels.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:07 – 3:13)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 146 – Z-0082-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. The applicant shall provide a minimum six-foot wide landscape planter adjacent to the entire Gowan Road frontage. The planter shall provide one 24-inch box tree spaced every 30 feet on center as required by the Urban Design Guidelines and Standards.

Public Works

3. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Gowan Road.
4. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Gowan Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.
5. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development to address issues relating to intersection offset distances for the proposed public street access to Gowan Road prior to the submittal of construction drawings or a Tentative Map for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 146 – Z-0082-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

7. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0083-01 - BABB INVESTMENT COMPANY ON BEHALF OF SPRINT PCS** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone, [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] TO: PD (Planned Development) on 4.87 Acres adjacent to the south side of Gowan Road, approximately 1,015 feet east of Hualapai Way (APN: 138-07-301-004), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****3****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and MACK excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRIS WENER, Spectrum Surveying, 7351 West Charleston Boulevard, #120, appeared on behalf of the applicant. His firm represented wireless carriers on the first three leases that were completed for wireless facilities on City property. MR. WENER stated that the request is to change the designation to PD (Planned Development), which complies with the general plan.

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, complained about Sprint's billing process because it is done on the east coast. He feels that he is being shorted two hours of service within the billing process because of the time difference.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 147 – Z-0083-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 147 [Z-0083-01] and Item 148 [Z-0083-01(1)] was held under Item 147 [Z-0083-01].

(3:13 – 3:16)

5-191

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Coordinate with the Right-of-Way Section of the Department of Public Works to ensure that all proper documentation for all required public street dedications are recorded for the overall site of which this parcel is a part prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.
4. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements (sidewalk) on Gowan Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0083-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0083-01(1) - BABB INVESTMENT COMPANY ON BEHALF OF SPRINT PCS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 60 FOOT TALL WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS MONOPOLE on 4.87 Acres adjacent to the south side of Gowan Road, approximately 1,015 feet east of Hualapai Way, (APN: 138-07-301-004), U (Undeveloped) Zone, [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED PD (Planned Development) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****3****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**BROWN – ABEYANCE to 2/6/2002 – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRIS WENER, Spectrum Surveying, 7351 West Charleston Boulevard, #120, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked MR. WENER where the monopole would be located. MR. WENER replied that the Planning Commission and staff requested that it be located as close to the school as possible. The location originally abutted Gowan Road. Originally, it was a slim line design with antennas mounted directly to the pole. Since then it was relocated at the edge of the parking lot and will be designed as a light standard with the antennas mounted on the top. It will be constructed out of the same tubular steel, as the existing light standards and painted to match.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 148 – Z-0083-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. WENER added that at the time this site was proposed, the City did not have a layout for the adjacent park to be used as a co-location. On future parks they will be able to incorporate it into the design of the park. He outlined the surrounding properties of this parcel, which is owned by the Challenger School. There is a multi-family development that has been constructed on the parcels to the west. Directly to the north is the Southern Nevada Authority detention basin. They have a couple of sites on their parcels, but the process has become stagnant, unlike the City where they welcome these facilities. Directly to the east are the park and single-family homes.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked staff the reason for the denial on the Site Development Plan. CHRIS GLORE, Planning & Development Department, responded that the Planning Commission felt that the location was inappropriate and indicated that the tower should be in closer proximity to the existing structures of the church and not in an isolated location.

MR. WENER discussed with COUNCILMAN BROWN that the school owned this parcel and all the vacant land directly to the north, but the school does not have a plan for this property at this time. That is the reason why they did not want any facilities on this property where it could affect future construction. COUNCILMAN BROWN pointed out that if the school were to build ball fields, it would be great to incorporate the pole into one of the lights.

After discussing with MR. GLORE that staff had not had a chance to review the new site plan, COUNCILMAN BROWN requested that Item 148 [Z-0083-01(1)] be held in abeyance so that the applicant could work with staff regarding the elevations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:13 – 3:16)

5-191

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 148 – Z-0083-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations.
3. The communications monopole and its associated equipment and facility shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the communications monopole and its associated equipment.
4. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.
5. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Rezoning Application Z-0083-01 and all other site related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M. - ABEYANCE ITEM - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0043-01 - ANN DURANGO, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request to Amend a Portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) TO: GC (General Commercial) on 2.5 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Riley Street and Centennial Parkway (APN: 125-29-502-003), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-2 vote on a motion for approval) failed to obtain a super majority vote which is tantamount to DENIAL and staff recommends DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-2 vote on a motion for approval) failed to obtain a super majority vote which is tantamount to DENIAL and staff recommends DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application – Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: 12/3/2001 Minutes – Northwest Network of Neighborhoods
5. Submitted at meeting: 1/14/2002 Agenda – Northwest Network of Neighborhoods

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining due to a relationship with an individual identified in the Disclosure of Financial Interest, M. McDONALD abstaining due to his being represented in private and business matters by the attorney who is involved in a lawsuit with the City for this particular area and MACK abstaining due to an existing financial relationship with another dealership in this particular area.

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Item 149 [GPA-0043-01], Item 150 [Z-0077-01], Item 151 [U-0134-01] and Item 152 [Z-0077-01(1)] is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 149.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 149 – GPA-0043-01

MINUTES – Continued:

APPEARANCES:

J. T. MORAN, III, Attorney, 630 South 4th Street
BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk
JERRY STUCKEY, 6315 North Juliano Road
MIKE MONAHAN, 8590 West Regena Avenue
CASEY LIMB, 6365 North Juliano Road
MICHELLE WARE, 8590 West Regena Avenue
LOUISE RUSKAMP, 8500 Log Cabin Way
TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street
STEPHEN REILLY, 7000 Steeple Court
MANUEL ARIAS, 8237 Fawn Heather Court
DWIGHT PERSINGER, 2212 Purple Majesty
ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department
CHRISTOPHER GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Development Department
BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed Code Enforcement to investigate the neighbors complaints regarding Jim Marsh's non compliance with the Town Center standards.

NOTE: See Item 149 [GPA-0043-01], Item 150 [Z-0077-01], Item 151 [U-0134-01] and Item 152 [Z-0077-01(1)] for related discussion.

(4:09 – 5:47)

5-767/6-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M. - ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0043-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0077-01** - ANN DURANGO, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: C-2 (General Commercial) Zone on 2.5 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Riley Street and Centennial Parkway (APN: 125-29-502-003), PROPOSED USE: USED VEHICLE SALES, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: 12/3/2001 Minutes – Northwest Network of Neighborhoods
5. Submitted at meeting: 1/14/2002 Agenda – Northwest Network of Neighborhoods

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining due to a relationship with an individual identified in the Disclosure of Financial Interest, M. McDONALD abstaining due to his being represented in private and business matters by the attorney who is involved in a lawsuit with the City for this particular area and MACK abstaining due to an existing financial relationship with another dealership in this particular area.

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Item 149 [GPA-0043-01], Item 150 [Z-0077-01], Item 151 [U-0134-01] and Item 152 [Z-0077-01(1)] is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 149.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 150 – Z-0077-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

APPEARANCES:

J. T. MORAN, III, Attorney, 630 South 4th Street
BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk
JERRY STUCKEY, 6315 North Juliano Road
MIKE MONAHAN, 8590 West Regena Avenue
CASEY LIMB, 6365 North Juliano Road
MICHELLE WARE, 8590 West Regena Avenue
LOUISE RUSKAMP, 8500 Log Cabin Way
TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street
STEPHEN REILLY, 7000 Steeple Court
MANUEL ARIAS, 8237 Fawn Heather Court
DWIGHT PERSINGER, 2212 Purple Majesty
ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department
CHRISTOPHER GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Development Department
BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 149 [GPA-0043-01], Item 150 [Z-0077-01], Item 151 [U-0134-01] and Item 152 [Z-0077-01(1)] for related discussion.

(4:09 – 5:47)

5-767/6-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a General Plan Amendment to GC (General Commercial) on the subject site.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A Site Development Plan Review shall be approved by the City Council on the subject site that conforms to all Town Center Development Standards.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 150 – Z-0077-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Public Works

4. Dedicate an additional 10 feet of right-of-way for a total half-street width of 40 feet on Riley Street adjacent to this site and a 25 foot radius on the southeast corner of Riley Street and Centennial Parkway prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.
5. Submit a Vacation Application to vacate 5 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Centennial Parkway; such Vacation Application shall be acted upon by the City Council prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.
6. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) on Riley Street and Centennial Parkway adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site. Centennial Parkway and Riley Street shall be constructed to comply with Town Center Frontage Road and Town Center Collector standards respectively.
7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 150 – Z-0077-01

CONDITIONS - Continued:

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
9. Landscape and maintain all unimproved rights-of-way on Centennial Parkway and Riley Street adjacent to this site.
10. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the Centennial Parkway and Riley Street public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M. - ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO GPA-0043-01 AND Z-0077-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0134-01 - ANN DURANGO, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR USED MOTOR VEHICLE SALES adjacent to the southeast corner of Riley Street and Centennial Parkway (APN: 125-29-502-003), U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED: C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: 12/3/2001 Minutes – Northwest Network of Neighborhood
5. Submitted at meeting: 1/14/2002 Agenda – Northwest Network of Neighborhoods

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and adding the following conditions:

- The applicant shall submit a parking plan to ensure the number of vehicles on this site at any one time does not exceed the number of provided legal parking spaces.
- The dealership shall be closed on Sundays.
- All vehicles sold shall not be more than five years old.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 151 – U-0134-01

MOTION – Continued:

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining due to a relationship with an individual identified in the Disclosure of Financial Interest, M. McDONALD abstaining due to his being represented in private and business matters by the attorney who is involved in a lawsuit with the City for this particular area and MACK abstaining due to an existing financial relationship with another dealership in this particular area.

NOTE: The previous motion by COUNCILMAN BROWN was rescinded. The initial motion was APPROVAL of Item 151 subject to staff conditions. Both motions were carried.

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Item 149 [GPA-0043-01], Item 150 [Z-0077-01], Item 151 [U-0134-01] and Item 152 [Z-0077-01(1)] is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 149.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

APPEARANCES:

J. T. MORAN, III, Attorney, 630 South 4th Street
BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk
JERRY STUCKEY, 6315 North Juliano Road
MIKE MONAHAN, 8590 West Regena Avenue
CASEY LIMB, 6365 North Juliano Road
MICHELLE WARE, 8590 West Regena Avenue
LOUISE RUSKAMP, 8500 Log Cabin Way
TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street
STEPHEN REILLY, 7000 Steeple Court
MANUEL ARIAS, 8237 Fawn Heather Court
DWIGHT PERSINGER, 2212 Purple Majesty
ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department
CHRISTOPHER GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Development Department
BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 151 – U-0134-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 149 [GPA-0043-01], Item 150 [Z-0077-01], Item 151 [U-0134-01] and Item 152 [Z-0077-01(1)] for related discussion.

(4:09 – 5:47)

5-767/6-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a General Plan Amendment to GC (General Commercial) on the subject site.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A site development plan review shall be approved by the City Council on the subject site.

Public Works

4. Dedicate an additional 10 feet of right-of-way for a total half-street width of 40 feet on Riley Street adjacent to this site and a 25 foot radius on the southeast corner of Riley Street and Centennial Parkway prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.
5. Submit a Vacation Application to vacate 5 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Centennial Parkway; such Vacation Application shall be acted upon by the City Council prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.
6. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) on Riley Street and Centennial Parkway adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site. Centennial Parkway and Riley Street shall be constructed to comply with Town Center Frontage Road and Town Center Collector standards respectively.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 151 – U-0134-01

CONDITIONS - Continued:

7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 151 – U-0134-01

CONDITIONS - Continued:

9. Landscape and maintain all unimproved rights-of-way on Centennial Parkway and Riley Street adjacent to this site.
10. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the Centennial Parkway and Riley Street public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M. - ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0043-01, Z-0077-01 AND U-0134-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0077-01(1) - ANN DURANGO, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review for an 8,500 SQUARE FOOT USED VEHICLE SALES BUILDING AND A REDUCTION IN PERIMETER LANDSCAPING REQUIRED on 2.5 acres adjacent to the southeast corner Riley Street and Centennial Parkway (APN: 125-29-502-003), U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED: GC (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (2-4 vote failed on a motion for approval) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (2-4 vote failed on a motion for approval) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: 12/3/2001 Minutes – Northwest Network of Neighborhoods
5. Submitted at meeting: 1/14/2002 Agenda – Northwest Network of Neighborhoods

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and adding the following conditions:

- Direct vehicle access is prohibited onto Riley Avenue unless required by the Fire Department.
- The applicant shall submit a parking plan to ensure the number of vehicles on this site at any one time does not exceed the number of provided legal parking spaces.

- **UNANIMOUS** with GOODMAN abstaining due to a relationship with an individual identified in the Disclosure of Financial Interest, M. McDONALD abstaining due to his being represented in private and business matters by the attorney who is involved in a lawsuit with the City for this particular area and MACK abstaining due to an existing financial relationship with another dealership in this particular area.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 152 – Z-0077-01(1)

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Item 149 [GPA-0043-01], Item 150 [Z-0077-01], Item 151 [U-0134-01] and Item 152 [Z-0077-01(1)] is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 149.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

APPEARANCES:

J. T. MORAN, III, Attorney, 630 South 4th Street
BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk
JERRY STUCKEY, 6315 North Juliano Road
MIKE MONAHAN, 8590 West Regena Avenue
CASEY LIMB, 6365 North Juliano Road
MICHELLE WARE, 8590 West Regena Avenue
LOUISE RUSKAMP, 8500 Log Cabin Way
TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street
STEPHEN REILLY, 7000 Steeple Court
MANUEL ARIAS, 8237 Fawn Heather Court
DWIGHT PERSINGER, 2212 Purple Majesty
ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department
CHRISTOPHER GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Development Department
BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed Planning staff to work with the City Attorney's office to prepare an agenda item for the first meeting in February to master plan inside the S-Curve and include the ability for the City Council to issue a moratorium on future applications until such plan is complete.

NOTE: See Item 149 [GPA-0043-01], Item 150 [Z-0077-01], Item 151 [U-0134-01] and Item 152 [Z-0077-01(1)] for related discussion.

(4:09 – 5:47)

5-767/6-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning [Z-0077-01] to a C-2 (General Commercial) Zoning District and shall approve a Special Use Permit (U-0134-01) for used motor vehicle sales.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 152 – Z-0077-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. A minimum of 25 parking spaces shall be signed ‘for customer parking only’ with one space being van accessible.
5. The landscape plan shall be revised, prior to the issuance of any building permits, to depict: the planter along Centennial Parkway shown at 15 feet in width with 24-inch box tree planted 30 feet on center; the planter along Riley Street be increased to 15 feet in width with 24-inch box trees planted 20 feet on center; the planter along the south property line a minimum of eight feet in width with 24-inch box trees planted a minimum of 20 feet on center; and the landscape planter along the east property line have trees planted 30 feet on center.
6. The elevations on all four sides of the building shall provide consistent façade detailing.
7. A master signage plan shall be approved by the Planning Commission prior to the issuance of any certificate of occupancy. Freestanding signage shall be limited to a maximum height of twenty-four (24) feet along Centennial Road. All signs shall utilize materials and colors reflecting the building design.
8. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
9. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
10. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Planning & Development Department
Item 152 – Z-0077-01(1)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

11. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 30 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
12. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
13. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
14. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

15. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
16. All landscaping installed with this project shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
17. Site development to comply with all previous conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0077-01 (on this same agenda) and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION AND HEARINGS OFFICER MEETINGS AND DANGEROUS BUILDINGS OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

DB 901 Sahara Avenue, NL 9999 Arville & Sahara Avenue, NL 1608 E. Charleston Blvd, MSH-0004-01, U-0107-90(3), U-0067-96(1), U-0145-01, U-0168-01, V-0085-01, V-0088-01, VAC-0039-01, VAC-0042-01, VAC-0043-01, VAC-0044-01 – 2/6/2002 AGENDA

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ADDENDUM:

None.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE **CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JANUARY 16, 2002**

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

MINUTES:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, addressed the trails item discussed during the morning agenda. He commented that the trails should be extended to Mesquite Avenue and Charleston Boulevard. This is a great place to start, but a lot more needs to be done regarding trails in the older neighborhoods.

(5:47 – 5:48)

6-1066

DAN CONTRERAS, Bonanza Village, stated that he gets extremely passionate about his neighborhood and sometimes he comes across very strong. He apologized to COUNCILMAN WEEKLY for doing that, but he still has grave concerns about the Bonanza wall. It does not meet any standards that the City imposes on other developments. The residents want something that will be a sense of pride for this community. The wall was built in the middle of the sidewalk, and in the process benches from two bus stops were removed. He is embarrassed to live in this development because the color of the wall blocks do not match. It is embarrassing to have a \$300,000 wall that is ugly and looks like a prison wall. He wants to know where the City stands on this issue before the assessment comes back in three weeks so that the residents could respond. He hopes that a compromise could be reached to do what is right for this community.

(5:48 – 5:51)

6-1114

JOE MAVIGLIA, citizen of Las Vegas, indicated that the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition would initiate a job training program for the homeless. However, the money that will be spent will not help the homeless. The only way out of the homeless corridor is a decent job and economic stability. In all his years working on job training programs, he learned that when processing an individual into the job market, consideration should be given to changes in procedures and products. Jobs are available, despite September 11. A simple self-teaching program can be developed that allows the individual to improve in a timely manner. The Council has to determine the future of the City and its citizens to help them reach economic stability.

(5:51 – 5:56)

6-1222

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002 Citizen Participation

MINUTES – Continued:

DOROTHY BARNES, 2575 Sherwood, Apt. 26A, was concerned that there is a conspiracy to harm her without cause.

(5:56 – 5:59)

6-1396

MR. RYAN appeared together with HERMAN THROWER, who is in the real estate industry. MR. RYAN indicated that MR. THROWER has been in business in Las Vegas for 40 years and has acquired a new location at 1425 North Main Street. MR. THROWER has been having difficulty opening his business because of the drug and homeless situation in this particular area. MR. RYAN asked the Council to assist MR. THROWER.

MR. THROWER, 3305 Camp Street, North Las Vegas, appealed to the Council for help. He has lived in Las Vegas since 1954 and has been instrumental in helping develop the City. He knows that the Council is aware of this situation and that MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCILMAN WEEKLY have visited his property. The week he bought the property the City sent him a notice to clean the property, which he did. He has spent almost \$10,000 to clean the property, but trash and debris reappear. He found syringes on the property and knows that it is a health hazard. In fact, he called the Health Department, but was told that he is responsible for his property. There is a fence around the property, but these items are thrown over the fence. COUNCILMAN REESE directed the City Manager to look into MR. THROWER's situation.

(5:59 – 6:03)

6-1505

MANNY ARIAS, 8237 Fawn Heather Court, expressed disappointment in ATTORNEY MORAN's comments that JOE SCALA approves of the proposed project discussed in Items 149 through 152. In conversations with MR. SCALA, he was told that he does not approve of the project because it will be a detriment to all the car dealerships in this particular area. The architectural committee should look at this project. He believes that they will be holding auctions at this location.

(6:03 – 6:05)

6-1674

GLADYS FEINN, 8125 West Lone Mountain Road, Northwest Citizens Association, stated that a car dealership was denied on property zoned C-2 located on Rancho Drive and Lone Mountain because all car dealerships were to be located in Town Center. Residents were told that Town Center would be different and unique. However, the problem is that a car dealership is allowed to come in and this opens up a Pandora's box. Other applicants will come forward with similar

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 16, 2002
Citizen Participation

MINUTES – Continued:

applications. Town Center should not be expanded. MS. FEINN asked the difference between a used car and one that is bought through an auction. Her understanding was that there would be no used car dealerships in Town Center.

(6:05 – 6:09)

6-1737

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 6:09 P.M.